INDEX

Of

WITNESSES

Defense' Witnesses	Page
WACHI, Tsunezo (resumed)	20574
(Witness excused)	20574
WACHI, Takaji	20575
Direct by Mr. Levin	20575
("itness excused)	20589
MORNING RECESS	20609
HASHIMOTO, Gun	20609
Direct by Mr. Levin	20609
Cress by Judge Nyi	20637
NOON RECESS	20641
Cross by Judge Nyi (cont'd)	20642
AFTERNOON RECESS	20664
Cross by Judge Nyi (cont'd)	20665
(Witness excused)	20668
TANAKA, Shinichi	20668
Direct by Mr. Levin	20668

INDEX

Of

EXHIBITS

Doc.	Def. No.	Pros.	Description	Fer Ident.	In Evidence
1003	2482		Affidavit of WACHI, Takaji		20576
1144	2483		Note on the Dissolution of the Provisional Government of Tients		20592
1143	2484		Note of Acceptance of the Terms of the Dissolution of the Provisionsion Government of Tients:	tion el	
	- 4		dated 18 July 1902	,	20599
956	2485		Resolutions Adopted by Diplomatic Body at Peking re Military Occupation of the Rai way from Peking to Shanhaikuan		20602
891	2486		Declaration of the President of China Relating to Respecti of Treaties, Agreement and Vestad Rights, day 10 October 1913	nts	20605
973	2487		Affidavit of HASHIMOTO,	Gun	20611
925	2488		Affidavit of TANAKA, Sh		20669

Wednesday, 23 April 1947 INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL FOR THE FAR EAST Court House of the Tribunal War Ministry Building Tokyo, Japan The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjournment, at 0930. Appearances: For the Tribunal, same as before. For the Prosecution Section, same as before. For the Defense Section, same as before. (English to Japanese and Japanese to English interpretation was made by the Language Section, IMTFE.)

Morse & Wolf

Military Tribunal for the Far East is now in session.

THE PREFIDENT: All the accused are present except TOGO and HIRANUMA who are represented by counsel. The prison surgeon at Sugamo certifies that they are too ill to attend the trial today. The certificate will be recorded and filed.

The Stars and Stripes report of yesterday's proceedings is so full of serious inaccuracies that if the Tribunal did and said the things attributed to it in the report it would deserve instant dismissal.

Fortunately the court reporters made a report which does not call for a single correction. The Stars and Stripes report was made by a press correspondent who is generally completely reliable and I attribute the inaccuracies in the report to the faulty condition of the IBM yesterday.

I refer to a few of the most serious errors.

The press report states the Court rejected two
paragraphs, whereas, only one was rejected. It states
the Court, to show its tolerance, admitted a certain
paragraph. It did no such thing. It says the President
stated he would keep in mind gratuitous insults

offered to the Allied countries. The President did not say that, but he did say he would not permit such gratuitous insults.

The press correspondent is asked by the Tribunal to consult the official record and secure the necessary corrections in the newspaper and in any transmission overseas.

Mr. Levin.

T S U N E Z O W A C H I, called as witness on behalf of the defense, resumed the stand and testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

MR. LEVIN: Mr. President, we have concluded with the witness and the prosecution may now examine, if they desire.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

MR. TAVENNER: If it please the Tribunal, the prosecution does not desire to cross-examine.

THE PRESIDENT: The witness is at liberty on the usual terms.

(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)

MR. LEVIN: We now call the witness, WACHI,
Takaji.

TAKAJI WACHI, called as a witness on
behalf of the defense, being first duly sworn,
testified through Japanese interpreters as
follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. LEVIN:

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

21

22

- Q Please state your name, age and address.
- A WACHI, Takaji; 54; Sugamo Prison.
- Q The Marshal will hand you defense document No. 1003. Will you please state whether your signature appears thereon?

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was handed to the witness.)

- A This is mine. This is my affidavit.
- Q Are the contents of said document true and correct?
 - A There is some mistake in one point.
 - Q Will you please state what that is?
- A The mistake appears in paragraph 11 which states that there was six thousand Japanese within the walls of Peiping. It should be three thousand instead of six thousand.
- Q With that correction is the statement true and correct?

A Yes.

23

24

TAKAJI WACHI, called as a witness on behalf of the defense, being first duly sworn, testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. LEVIN:

- Q Please state your name, agg and address?
- A WACHI, Takaji, 54 Sugamo prison.
- Q The Marshal will hand you defense document No. 1003. Will you please state whether your signature appears thereon?

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was handed to the witness.)

- A This is mine. This is my affidavit.
- Q Are the contents of said document true and correct?
 - A There is some mistake in one point.
 - Q Will you please state what that is?
- A The mistake appears in paragraph 11 which states that there was six thousand Japanese within the walls of Peiping. It should be three thousand instead of six thousand.
- Q With that correction is the statement true and correct?

A Yes.

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

MR. LEVIN: We now offer in evidence

defense document No. 1003 with the corrections as

stated.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No.

1803 will receive exhibit No. 2482.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2482 and received in evidence.)

MR. LEVIN: I think the Tribunal will recall that this witness appeared in a previous phase of the case.

Omitting the formal parts I begin to read the affidavit:

in the Japanese Army and am now being confined at Sugamo prison.

"2. I was at Tientsin as a senior staffofficer of the Japanese Garrison Army in China from
August of 1936 to the end of July 1937. I was in
charge of Intelligence.

"3. Therefore, personally experiencing and understanding the state of affairs in North China before and at the time of the Lukouchiao Incident which occurred on July 7, 1937, I should like to

state the following facts for reference.

-

1

3

4

5

--

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

"4. First, I will give a few facts for information on the situation in North China prior to the Incident. Around August of 1936 when I arrived at Tientsin to take my new post, there were many unemployed soldiers of the Northeast Army (Chang Hsueh-Liang's Army) infiltrating into North China. Ma Chan-shan was also in Tientsin. One day I met him at the home of Fan-fu at the English Concession. The fact that many of these unemployed soldiers had joined the Kintung Government Army was also related in the talk by GORO, Araki who was formerly the advisor of Chang Hsuch-liang in Pei-ping. 'In order to attack the Communist Army in Northwest China, Chang Hsueh-liang himself and the Northeast Army were dispatched but these officers and men of the Northeast Army communicated with the Communist Army saying that their enemy was Japan and since Japan was also the enemy of the Communist Army, they should not fight each other. For that reason, the subjugation of the Communist Army did not progress. Therefore, in order to encourage the punitive forces, Chang-Kai-shek himself went to fiam Incident occurred', stated Ma Chan-shan to Hsia Menyun, my secretary. Also, these facts stated above were confirmed by

other intelligence reports which I gathered." --

THE PRESIDENT: Is that correct?

MR. LEVIN: I don't believe so, Mr. President.

I tried to read it as it appeared in the document itself, but it doesn't make good sense. I suggest that the matter be referred to the Language Section for such corrections as might be necessary.

THE PRESIDENT: Siam, S-i-a-m, and that too should be "n", I think. The word before Siam -- however, we will try to spell out of it what meaning is intended.

MR. LEVIN: (Reading continued)

at Tsinan. He told me that he received an unofficial notification from the central authority that war would break out between Japan and China in the autumn of that year, and that at that time he had to go to Tientsin. However, he stated that if he should go to Tientsin, the base of Shantung would be captured during his absence. So, for the reason that the Japanese Army might also land at Tsing-tao, we should advance to Meihsien.

"4. From about the spring of 1937 (the 12th year of Showa) the attitude of Sung Che-yuan, Chairman of the Kicha Political Committee became

other intelligence reports which I gathered." --

.

MR. LEVIN: I don't believe so, Mr. President.

I tried to read it as it appeared in the document itself, but it doesn't make good sense. I suggest that the matter be referred to the Language Section for such corrections as might be necessary.

THE PRESIDENT: Is that correct?

THE PRESIDENT: Siam, S-i-a-m, and that too should be "n", I think. The word before Siam -- however, we will try to spell out of it what meaning is intended.

MR. LEVIN: (Reading continued)

at Tsinan. He told me that he received an unofficial notification from the central authority that war would break out between Japan and China in the autumn of that year, and that at that time he had to go to Tientsin. However, he stated that if he should go to Tientsin, the base of Shantung would be captured during his absence. So, for the reason that the Japanese Army might also land at Tsing-tao, we should advance to Meihsien.

"4. From about the spring of 1937 (the 12th year of Showa) the attitude of Sung Che-yuan, Chairman of the Kicha Political Committee became

more inclined to be anti-Jenanese. There stence when I tried to have an interview t the Military Officers Club at Tientsin, lied that he could not meet me there at building had been contributed by Yen no was pro-Japanese). Communist troops gradually to join the third division of 'my under Sung Che-yuan's commend. Meanhe side of Jepen, Vice-chief of the ff, NISHIO, Toshizo, summoned Colonel Shanghai, Major OBASHI of the Kwantung self of the Japanese Army stationed in and instructed us to assume a passive t we should cause a disturbance in China. Military Operations of the General erters at that time was Major General 1ji.

also informed him fully about our cautious orth China.

After I returned to my post in Tientsin, attitude of the Japanese Army stationed according to the purport of the which I received at Tokyo. Shortly ant Colonel OKAMOTO, Kivofuku came to the Headquarters of the General Steff

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

more and more inclined to be anti-Jananese. was an instance when I tried to have an interview with him at the Military Officers Club at Tientsin, but he replied that he could not meet me there because that building had been contributed by Yan Ju-keng (who was pro-Japanese). Communist troops begen even gradually to join the third division of the 29th Army under Sung Che-yuan's commend. Meanwhile, on the side of Japan, Vice-chief of the General Staff, NISHIO, Toshizo, summoned Colonel KUSUMOTO of Shanghai, Major OBASHI of the Kwantung Army and myself of the Japanese Army stationed in North China and instructed us to assume a passive attitude lest we should cause a disturbance in China. The Chief of Military Operations of the General Steff Headquarters at that time was Major General ISHIHARA, Kanji.

"I also informed him fully about our cautious attitude in North China.

"7. After I returned to my post in Tientsin, I guided the attitude of the Japanese Army stationed in North China according to the purport of the instructions which I received at Tokyo. Shortly after, Lieutenant Colonel OKAMOTO, Kivofuku came to Tientsin from the Headquarters of the General Staff

24

17 18

19

20

15

16

21 22 23

"7. After I returned to my post in Tientsin, I guided the attitude of the Japanese Army stationed in North China according to the purpost of the instructions which I received at Tokyo. Shortly after, Lieutenant Colonel OKAMOTO, Kiyofuku came to Tientsin from the Headquarters of the General Ftaff

more and more inclined to be anti-Japanese. There was an instance when I tried to have an interview with him at the Military Officers Club at Tientsin, but he replied that he could not meet me there because that building had been contributed by Yan Ju-keng (who was pro-Japanese). Communist troops began even gradually to join the third division of the 29th Army under Sung Che-yuan's command. Meanwhile, on the side of Japan, Vice-chief of the General Staff, NI:HIQ, Toshizo, summoned Colonel KUSUMOTO of Shanghai, Major OBASHI of the Kwantung Army and myself of the Japanese Army stationed in North China and instructed as to assume a passive attitude lest we should cause a disturbance in China. The Chief of Military Operations of the General Staff Headquarters at that time was Major General Ishihara, Kanji.

I also informed him fully about our cautious attitude in North China.

24

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

and, upon his return after inspecting the state of affairs of the Japanese garrison in China, he expressed relief that there were absolutely no signs to indicate that our side was ready to cause any disturbances.

"8. The Lukouchiao Incident, as is well known, occurred on 7 July 1937, but at that time Lieutenant General TAPHIRO of the Japanese Army in China had been in bed suffering from heart-asthma since several months before and he was absolutely unable to command his army. He was so seriously ill that he died on the 9th of that month. For the purpose of inspection, the main force of the 2nd Infantry Regiment stationed at Tientsin was dispatched to Shanhaikwan. A greater part of the battalion stationed at Peiping was also at Tung-chow. Major General KAWABE, Shozo, the Commander of the Infantry Brigade at Peiping, together with his subordinates had left Peiping on his way to Shanhaikwan on official business. The amount of arms, ammunition and military supplies in storage at Tientsin which was" -- I presume -- "at the Japanese Garrison Base was exceedingly low.

"9. I received a report of the outbreak of the Lukouchiao Incident at mid-night on 7 July.

24

I was ordered to proceed to the spot by HARHII OTO,
Gun, Chief Staff Officer, and on the following day
I went to Tung-chow by airplane, and from Tung-chow
I entered Pei-ping by car. At Pei-ping I participated
in the settlement of the incident at the spot where
it occurred with Colonel MATRUI, Takuro. This
negotiation was settled the following day on the 9th.
As to the settlement of the incident at that spot,
the conditions were like conditions of infringement,
that is, the incident was settled in the morning
on the condition that China withdraw her troops to
the Lukouchiao Castle and the grounds around the
castle and punish the responsible persons.

"10. Since the aforementioned agreement was achieved, I returned to Tientsin to my post via Tung-chow, and at Tung-chow I met Yin Ju-king and HASAKI (Adviser to Ki-tung Government).

"At this time Yin said that he was going to muster six battalions of his command at Tung-chow. These six battalions later caused the Tung-chow Incident which occurred on the 29th of this month.

"11. After returning to my post at Tientsin,
I went to Tokyo on official business by order from
Tokyo, and I reported the situation at the scene of the
incident to the General Staff Headquarters and the
War Ministry.

"At that time I reported to TANAKA, Shinichi, Chief of the Army Affiirs Bureau of the 'er Ministry as follows:

Authorities, the policy of non-expansion and peaceful settlement is being driven home well. For example, due to the stimulus accorded to the Chinese, the railroad between Pei-ping and Tientsin is prohibited to transport of Japanese troops; the railroad between Fengtei and Pei-ping is also not permitting Japanese troops in uniform to ride; all Japanese passing through the Yungting gate are tolerating

DIRECT

inter thor wh 3 6

Military Police; six 3" (I presume that is made which was from 6,000 Pei-ping Castle are being wo or three platoons of our of the existing condition whereby ic-guns are trained on the Japanese in the Pei-ping Castle; we also refrained asporting the wounded into the Pei-ping Castle rgical operations and the corpses of those red in action are being neglected. Moreover, in egards to the artillery bombardment by the Chinese, a condition exists whereby it would be possible to fight back immediately. But, in spite of all these facts, I am complying fully with the orders of the Army Headquarters at Tientsin and submitting myself to complete humiliation and inconvenience. I am strictly prohibiting action which may act as a provocation to

"11. After completing the aforementioned report, I returned to North China about noon on July 28. There I was informed by Major-General GIGA, the Chief of the Air Group, that the 29th Army had attacked our units at Fengtai the day before, on July 27. Today, the 28th, Tientsin was attacked by Chinese

15

16

17

25

24

the spread of the incident.'

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

interrogation by the Chinese Military Police; six thousand Japanese nationals" (I presume that is where the correction was made which was from 6,000 to 3,000) "within the Pei-ping Castle are being guarded by merely two or three platoons of our infantry because of the existing condition whereby Chinese machine-guns are trained on the Japanese houses within the Pei-ping Castle; we also refrained from transporting the wounded into the Pei-ping Castle for surgical operations and the corpses of those killed in action are being neglected. Moreover, in regards to the artillery bombardment by the Chinese, a condition exists whereby it would be possible to fight back immediately. But, in spite of all these facts, I am complying fully with the orders of the Army Headquarters at Tientsin and submitting myself to complete humiliation and inconvenience. I am strictly prohibiting action which may act as a provocation to the spread of the incident. !

"11. After completing the aforementioned report, I returned to North China about noon on July 28. There I was informed by Major-General GIGA, the Chief of the Air Group, that the 29th Army had attacked our units at Fengtai the day before, on July 27. Today, the 28th, Tientsin was attacked by Chinese

23

24

interrogation by the Chinese Military Police; six thousand Japanese nationals" (I presume that is where the correction was made which was from 6,000 to 3,000) (within the Pei-ping Castle ore being guarded by marely two or three platoons of our infantry because of the existing condition whereby Chinese machine-gons are trained on the Japanese houses within the Pel-ping Cosple; we elso refrained from transporting the wounded into the Pei-ning Castle for surgical operations and the corpses of those killed in action are being neglected. Moreover, in regards to the artillery bombardment by the Chinese, ε condition exists who reby it world be possible to fight back immediately. But in spite of all these facts, I am complying fully with the orders of the Army Headqurters at Tientsin and submitting myself to complete humiliation and inconvenience. I am strictly prohibiting action which may act as a provocation to the spread of the incident.'

After completing the aforementioned report, I returned to North China about noon on July 28. There I was informed by Major General GIGA, the Chief of the Air Group, that the 29th Army had attacked our units at Fungtai the day before, on July 27. Today, the 28th, Tientsin was attacked by Chinese

24 25

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

interrogation by the Chinese Military Police; six thousand Japanese nationals" (I presume that is where the correction was made which was from 6,000 to 3,000) Within the Pei-ping Castle ofe being guarded by merely two or three platoons of our infantry because of the existing condition whereby Chinese machine-guns are trained/on the Japanese houses within the Aci-ping Costle; we also refrained from transporting the wounded into the Pei-ning Castle for surgical operations and the corpses of those killed in action are being neglected. Moreover, in regards to the artillery bombardment by the Chinese, a condition exists whereby it would be possible to fight back immediately. But in spite of all these facts, I am complying fully with the orders of the Army Headqurters at Tientsin and submitting myself to complete humiliation and inconvenience. I am strictly prohibiting action which may act as a provocation to the spread of the incident.'

"11. After completing the aforementioned report, I returned to NorthChina about noon on July 28. There I was informed by Major General GIGA, the Chief of the Air Group, that the 29th Army had attacked our units at Fungtai the day before, on July 27. Today, the 28th, Tientsin was attacked by Chinese

treops and consequently communication between Tientsin air base and the Tientsin Occupation Army was temporarily severed. Then I was able to return to our original headquarters on the 29th of the same month.

"12. While I was on official business in Tokyo, Mr. NAKASHIMA (Tetsulo), the Chief of the General Affairs Department of the General Staff Headquarters, informally ordered me to become the Regimental Commander of the 44th Infantry Regiment (Yochi) because the emergency in NorthChina was almost settled. However, on August 1 there was formal order issued and I took up my duties as the commander of the aforesaid regiment.

the place where the 44th Regiment had been stationed. Three days after my appointment, however, I received an urgent mobilization order and was sent to Shanghai. There I served in the field in the battle of Shanghai and after this, in March 1938, I returned to Japan. I was attached to General Staff Headquarters and given a special assignment in China. Consequently I went to Tokyo and, presenting myself at General Staff Headquarters, received my orders. My assignment was to have a talk with the Chicag Government to put an end to the Incident.

2 3 4

the same time I tried to negotiate with the Chiang Government through Hsia-Chenying in North-China whom I know. They seemed to be looking forward to the matter being settled before the fall of Hankow. At lest we reached a single plan. It was as follows: The Manchurian problem be tabled to the future; terminate fighting in China; the Personal elements of the Provisional Government in Paiping and the Restoration Government; and that Chiang Hai-shek temporarily leave the scene, etc. That the Japanese would acquire Chinase territory or monopolize Chinase interests, etc., were not included.

"15. I came back to Tokyo around the end of August 1938 and reported the above to War Minister ITAGAKI and the Vice Chief of the General Staff TADA. I was given approval to negotiate with the above as the basis.

of the same year and when I reported this to Hair he also agreed with me. I decided on Fu-Chow of Fuchien Province as the site for negotiations and was about to begin the negotiations when Hankow fell in October of the same year and was not able to realize it.

5

7 8

9

11 12

13

14

15

17

16

19

20

18

21

22

23

25

"17. Even after this I continued negotiations actively through Sheng's son, Chang Li-luan, and other prominent men endeavoring to get relations between Japan and China back to its natural form. I know that there were a great many men besides me who exerted themselves in this effort also."

You may take the witness.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

MR. TAVENNER: If your Honor please, the testimony, former testimony, of this witness in chief and on cross-examination begins in the record at page 19,664. I merely make reference to it in order to indicate that there are matters in the cross-examination which effect the credibility of the witness.

THE PRESIDENT: That is your statement. We may think otherwise.

MR. TAVENMER: Yes, sir. There will be no cross-examination.

MR. LEVIN: I desire to state, Mr. President, that the evidence of the witness, whether presented at this time or before, no doubt will be considered by the Court, but, except as the evidence has been challenged or has been discredited, whatever evidence he has given and concerning which he hasn't been cross-examined stands as a verity in our judgment.

THE PRESIDENT: Absence of cross-examination is not necessarily conclusive of truth, although it is a serious consideration, in the absence of any satisfactory explanation. I have a couple of questions to ask on behalf of Members of the Tribunal.

The first: On page 5 you quoted a report.
Where is that report as a whole now?

THE WITNESS: That report is in my memory.

THE PRESIDENT: Here is another question
bearing on the same matter, I think: Was the report

THE WITNESS: At the time the report was made it was in writing. I made the report in writing. However, that writing does not now exist.

THE PRESIDENT: That is all.

Mr. Tavenner.

regard to the matter of cross-examination, we have given considerable though to the matter of the extent to which we should cross-examine. There are many instances in which we desire to rely upon the prosecution's evidence rather than to attempt to show contradictions between the witness and the prosecution's evidence. If there were only a few witnesses to consider we would probably test a great many statements

ε written onc?

in each affidavit with the prosecution's evidence, but we have felt on the whole that we should restrict the cross-examination due, principally, to the question of time involved and we trust that the Tribunal will not interpret our failure to cross-examine in a given instance as an acknowledgement on the part of the prosecution of the verity of the matters contained in the affidavit.

THE PRESIDENT: 'hen you don't cross-examine it is just as well to give your reasons for not doing so.

MR. TAVENNER: Yos, sir.

MR. LEVIN: Mr. President, we believe, however, that no implication can be made from the fact that, as against these defendants or against the credibility of the evidence given by a witness, by the mere fact that the prosecution states that they might be able to challenge the evidence given by the witness.

THE PRESIDENT: A Member of the Court expresses his view this way: "The eliciting of facts is more important than the saving of time."

Yes, Mr. Levin.

MR. LEVIN: We now offer in evidence -THE PRESIDENT: The ** is discharged
on the usual terms.

MR. LEVIN: May the witness be excused on the usual terms, Mr. President?

THE PRESIDENT: I understand he goes back to Sugamo. That will be one of the terms.

("hereupon, the witness was excused.)

MR. LEVIN: We now offer in evidence defense document No. 1067, an excerpt from The Weekly News compiled by the Information Bureau, in regard to despatch of troops to North China.

THE PRISIDENT: Brigadier Nolan.

BRIGADIER NOLAN: May it please the Tribunal, we object to this press release on the grounds that we objected to defense document 985 which was rejected by this Tribunal yesterday.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there any difference, Mr. Levin?

MR. LEVIN: I would like to call the attention -- While this is an excerpt which appeared in The Weekly News it was compiled by the Information Bureau and is an official document. I desire to call the attention of the Court that exhibit No. 952, tendered by the prosecution, was a press release issued by the Department of State on August 23, 1937. Over the strenuous objection of Mr. Logan the Court

admitted exhibit 266, page 2543 of the record, prosecution document No. 1504(D). It was an excerpt from the Tokyo Gazette, although the prosecution was not able to indicate that Marquis KIDO published it, wrote the article, or had anything to do with it.

You said, Mr. President, "From such a number of articles a hostile inference might be drawn, but perhaps not from one article."

1 2

prosecution document No. 1504D, it was an excerpt from the Tokyo Gazette, although the prosecution was not able to indicate that Marquis KIDO published it, wrote the article, or had anything to do with it.

You said, Mr. President, "From such a number of articles a hostile inference might be drawn, but perhaps not from one article."

Greenberg & Rarton

THE PRESIDENT: There again I had in mind admissions from enemy sources, declarations against interest, which are always different, as I pointed cut yesterday. What you say against yourself is generally admissible; what you say in your own favor is not, if it is a declaration after an event. That, of course, is a technical rule of evidence but soundly based on probative value which is a test here.

MR. LEVIN: It would seem to us that the document in question has probative value. It was prepared by official sources shortly after the incident occurred from data which they had in their possession.

Mr. Tavenner introduced document No. 1503 which was an excerpt from an official statement of the Bureau of Information, Department of Foreign Affairs, entitled "A Japanese, German and Italian Agreement Against the Communist Internationale."

Those were not captured documents, if it please the Tribunal; those were publications that were issued to the world.

The Court admitted exhibits No. 610A and 611 which were articles which appeared in the Chicago Tribune, a report of Mr. John Goette on the situation in China.

As evidence against these accused and on the issues involved, the Court permitted the report of the circumstances of the wrock of the steamer "Krechet," belonging to the State Sea-Shipping Agency, in the harbor of Hong Kong; and exhibit No. 819, the report on the firing and plundering in the harbor of Hong Kong by the Japanese troops of the ship "Svirstrey."

THE H.ESIDENT: The position is put by a

Member of the Tribunal this way: These documents

contain what purports to be a complete justification

of the Japanese actions. If accepted, the accused

need offer no other evidence on the topics with which

they deal. By a majority, the Tribunal upholds the

objection and rejects the document.

MR. LEVIN: Next we offer in evidence defense document No. 1144 -- I am reversing it, 1144 first -- which is a note of the representatives of France, Germany, England, Italy and Japan, dated July 15, 1902, relative to the return of Tientsin to Chinese authorities.

THE HRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No. 1144

will receive exhibit No. 2483.

(Whereupon, the document above re-

MR. LEVIN: (Rerding).

"This document is the Note of the Representatives of the five Powers, France, Germany, England, Italy, addressed to Prince Chi'ing, Chinese Plenipotentiary, under date of July 15, 1902, taken from the 'Treaties and Agreements with and conerning China, 1894-1919', compiled and edited by MacMurray, edition of 1921, pp. 278-284.

"In connection with the handing back to
the Chinese authorities of the administration of
Tientsin (as to which see For. Rel. of the U.S.,
1902, pp. 184-201), the representatives of the five
Powers maintaining the provisional government of
that port (namely, France, Germany, Great Britian,
Italy and Japan) addressed to the Chinese Government
under date of July 15, 1902, identical notes of the
following tenor:

"I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your Highness' note of the 5th instant,
inclosing copy of a letter from his excellency, the
"iceroy Yuan, urging reasons why the administration
of the city of Tientsin should be handed back to his
excellency at an early date.

Your Highness that, in accord with my colleagues

representing powers that still have delegates on the council of the Tientsin provisional government, I am authorized by my Government to consent to the dissolution of that body, provided that the Chinese-Government signifies its adherence to the following propositions:

"'By Article VIII of the final protocol of September 7, 1901, it was declared that the Chinese Government agreed to have the forts at Taku and others which might interfere with free communications between Peking and the sea, demolished; and it was added that arrangements had been made for this purpose.

pressed to the diplomatic body their desire to be relieved of the direct responsibility for carrying out this Article, the representatives of the signatory powers intrusted the work to the Tientsin provisional government. It is not yet entirely completed. In order, therefore, to insure the fulfillment of this Article, I have the honor to propose to Your Highness that the work of demolition shall, from the moment of the dissolution of the Tientsin provisional government, be placed in the hands of the G.O.C's at Tientsin, the necessary funds being

1 2 3

16[°]

representing powers that still have delegates on the council of the Tientsin provisional government, I am authorized by my Government to consent to the dissolution of that body, provided that the Chinese Government signifies its adherence to the following propositions:

September 7, 1901, it was declared that the Chinese Government agreed to have the forts at Taku and others which might interfere with free communications between Peking and the sea, demolished; and it was added that arrangements had been made for this purpose.

pressed to the diplometic body their desire to be relieved of the direct responsibility for carrying out this article, the representatives of the signatory powers intrusted the work to the Tientsin provisional government. It is not yet antirely completed. In order, therefore, to insure the fulfillment of this article, I have the honor to propose to Your Highness that the work of demolition shell, from the moment of the dissolution of the Tientsia provisional government, be placed in the hands of the G.O.C's at Tientsia, the necessary funds being

3 4

provided out of the moneys then remaining in the trensury of the Tientsin provisional government.

"'By Article IX of the same protocol it is provided that the powers shall have the right of occupying certain points between Peking and the see, of which the whole town of Tientsin is one. Consequently, ofter the dissolution of the Tientsin provisional government, foreign troops will continue, as hitherto, to be stationed there, in the places actually occupied by them, their supplies of all sorts continuing as at present to be exempt. from all taxes or dues whatsoever. They will have the right of carrying on field exercises and rifle practice, etc., without informing the Chinese futhorities except in the erse of feux de guerre.

"It is desirable, however, to avoid as for as possible occasions of collision between the foreign troops and those of China. I propose, therefore, that with this object the Chinese Government shall undertake not to station or march any troops within 20 Chinese li (6 2/3 English miles) of the city or of troops stationed at Tientsin; further, in correspondence exchanged between the foreign ropresentatives and the Chinese plenipotentiaries, of whom Your Highness was one, previous to the sig-

24

1

2

3

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

nature of the protocol, it was agreed that the jurisdiction of the commanders of the posts to be established along the line of communications should extend to a distance of 2 miles on either side of the railway, and this arrangement ought to be maintained as long as the line of posts specified in

"I am willing, however, in concert with my colleagues, to consent that the Viceroy should have the right of maintaining a personal bodyguard in the city of Tientsin not exceeding in number 300 men; and also that His Excellency may maintain an efficient body of river police along the river, even where it runs within the 2-mile limit above mentioned.

Article IX of the protocol continue to be occupied.

"The demolition of the forts implies an obligation upon China not to reconstruct them, and the same obligation applies to the walls of Tientsin city, which, during the troubles of 1900, were made use of as a fortification directed against the security of the foreign settlements. We can not, however, consent that the Chinese Government establish maritime defenses at the nouth of the Peiho at Chingwangtao or at Shanhaikuan."

I omit the next three paragraphs, if the

nature of the protocol, it was agreed that the jurisdiction of the commanders of the posts to be established along the line of communications should extend to a distance of 2 miles on either side of the railway, and this arrangement ought to be main-

trined as long as the line of posts specified in Article IX of the protocol continue to be occupied.

"'I am willing, however, in concert with my colleagues, to consent that the Viceroy should have the right of maintaining a personal bodyguard in the city of Tientson not exceeding in number 300 men; and also that his excellency may maintain an officient body of river police along the river, even where it runs within the 2-mile limit above.

"IThe demolition of the forte implies on obligation upon Chine not to reconstruct there and the same obligation applies to the walls of Tientsin city, which, during the troubles of 1900 were made use of as a fortification directed against the security of the foreign settlements. We can not, however, consent that the Chinese Government establish paritime defenses at the routh of the Peiho at Chingwengtoo or at Shanhaikuan."

I omit the next three paragraphs, if the

Tribunal please, and read the fourth paragraph, which is as follows:

"The right of foreign troops to occupy surrer quarters when necessary ought, in my opinion, to be recognized.

government, I consider, should be intrusted to the senior consul, and application can be made to him by any person entitled to consult them.

"As regards texation, I consider that the inhabitants of the city and district should be regarded as having discharged their duty to the Chinese Government during the period of the continuance of the administration of the Tientsin provisional government and that no arrears ought to be depended of them under this heading!".

I shall omit the reading of the belence of this document.

We next offer in evidence defense document No. 1143.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No.

1143 will receive exhibit No. 2484.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2484 and received in evidence.)

MR. LEVIN: This is a note of acceptance of the terms of the dissolution of the Provisional Government of Tichtsin, dated July 18, 1902. I believe I shall omit the heading because that sets forth practically what the document is.

"The understanding upon which the terms of this note (the note on the dissolution of the Provisional Government of Tientsin) were accepted by the Chinese Government was communicated to the American Minister in a note from Prince Ch'ing under date of July 18, 1902, of which the substance is (in translation) as follows:

"'On the 10th of the sixth month, twentyeighth year of Kuang-hsu (July 14, 1902), I received
a dispatch from certain ministers of the treaty powers, stating that in regard to the transfer of the
city of Tientsin and the country adjacent to the

jurisdiction of the Viceroy of Chihli, these foreign ministers had agreed (of the same opinion). They also had had the honor of receiving the sanction of their respective governments for the abrogation of the provisional government, provided only that the Chinese Government should, first of all, distinctly consent to the conditions proposed, when they, on their part, would promise that in four weeks from the day on which consent was given, the provisional government of Tientsin should be abrogated. They, therefore, request that it be clearly pointed out to whom, when the time arrives, and into whose hands the provisional government should transfer Tientsin city and the country adjacent.

"'I have carefully perused the dispatch with regard to the point that military posts should be established along the highway or line of communication from Peking to the sea, with powers to control and punish, the distance to extend as far as two English miles on each side of the railroad.

"'I would remark that according to the doyen,
His Excellency Cologan's dispatch of the sixth moon,
twenty-seventh year of Kuang-hsu (July, 1901), military centrol would only refer to offences against" -I presume that is "against." The type is not very

clear here -- "the railroad, the telegraph lines, or against the allied" -- I can't make out that word.

THE PRESIDENT: That is "arrives," but it cannot be the word intended. It may be "allied armies and."

MR. LEVIN (Reading) -- "allied armies and their property.

"'As to the remaining articles, I have no objection to make.

"'On the 13th of the current month (July 17)

I memorialized the Throne on the subject and had the
henor of receiving the sanction of the Throne by
Imperial decree.

rimhereupon, I at once sent replies to the rinisters of the treaty powers, in order that they might transmit the same to the provisional government of Tientsin, that the provisional government be abolished within four weeks, and the city of Tientsin and its adjacent country be returned to Chinese administration and handed over to the superintendent of the northern ports, who, as the head of the local officials, civil and rilitary, will be there to receive it.

"Hereafter, whenever there is need for consultation, the foreign civil and military authorities

can, from time to time, consult with his Excellency the Superintendent of Northorn Ports, which, I hope, will be for the good of the place!".

(For. Rel. of the U.S., 1902, p. 201)

I am advised by my Japanese colleague that the word that I couldn't read was "armies", as you stated, Mr. President.

We now desire to offer in evidence defense document No. 956, being resolutions adopted by the diplomatic body at Peking regarding military occupation of the railway.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No.

956 will receive exhibit No. 2485.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2485 and received in evidence.)

MR. LEVIN: (Reading).

"Resolutions adopted by the Diplomatic Body at Peking regarding Military Occupation of the Railway from Peking to Shanhaikuan.

"This document is the resolution adopted by the diplomatic body at Peking at the time of the Chinese Revolution on January 26, 1912, taken from the "Treaties and Agreements with and concerning

1 2

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

1991/19

13

19 20

21

23

24

Chine, 1894-1919," corpiled and edited by Mac-Murray, edition of 1921, FP. 318-319.

"During the revolution of 1911-1912 the representatives of the Powers found it advisable to exercise the right of military control over the Peking-Mukden Reilway from the capital to Shenheikuan, and American, British, Franch, German, Italian and Japanese troops accordingly occupied milotted sections of the railway, between the points specified, in accordance with the following resolutions adopted by the Diplomatic Body at Peking and embodied in its circular No. 13A of January 26, 1912."

The follwing or the resolutions:

irportent stations, bridges, etc., along the line of the reilway.

tionist troops are at liberty to utilize the railway line and adjoining piers and wherves for the purpose of transportation, landing or embarkation, and will not be interfered with.

evoid any interference with the railway and to refrain from damaging it in any way. The Board of

the Imperial Reilway of North Chine will be requested to reintein at both Shanhaikuan and Tientsin a repair train loaded with suitable reterials for repairing darages.

important parts of the line, such as stations, depots, rachinery, bridges, etc., will be resisted by the combined forces of the six Powers cooperating in the defense of the railway.

of North Chine will be requested to slways keep ready at Shanhaikuan and Tientsin sufficient rolling stock to transport 250 infantry in order that resportements can rapidly be dispatched.

protected and telegraphic communications printrined.
Chinese troops of either side may make use of the
line.

should be informed of the conditions laid down in Paragraphs 2, 3, 4, and 6, and told to do their best with the forces at their disposal to carry them out."

We now desire to offer in evidence defense document No. 891, which is a declaration of the President of China relating to respecting of treaties, agreements and vested rights.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No.

891 will receive exhibit No. 2486.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2486 and received in evidence.)

MR. LEVIN (Reading):

"October 10th, 1913.

"I, as President, hereby declare that all
the treaties, agreements and commitments that have
been made between the former government of the Empire
of China as well as the Provisional Government of the
Republic of China and respective foreign governments
shall be infallibly observed, and the legitimate
contracts concluded by the former government with
foreign companies or foreign nationals shall also be
duly observed; moreover, the rights, privileges and
immunities which have already been acquired by foreign
nationals in China according to international agreements, national law, and various established customs
and precedents shall sincerely be recognized, thereby

to keep friendship and to maintain peace."

We now desire to call the attention of the Tribunal to defense document No. 489, which has already been introduced in evidence as exhibit 2434 and which appears at page 19,728 of the record. It is not intended to read this document again. It is Foreign Minister HIROTA's speech to the Diet on 22 January 1936 in regard to the policy of Japan toward China.

We desire also to call the attention of the Tribunal to defense document No. 231, which has already been introduced in evidence as exhibit 2370 and which appears at page 18,387 of the record. It is not intended to read this document again. It is an expression of Minister of Foreign Affairs ARITA as to the attitude of Japan in relation to various situations in China.

We now offer in evidence defense document No. 1093, explanation of the Marco Polo Incident given by a Foreign Office spokesman on July 8, 1937.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Nolan.

BRIGADIER NOLAN: We object to this document, your Honor, for the reasons that we have urged in respect of the other press releases.

Mi. LLVIN: Mr. President, I have nothing

to add to the various arguments I have previously made on the subject.

THE PRESIDENT: Can you distinguish this from the others?

MR. LEVIN: I think I can, Mr. President.

This is not a press release. This is an explanation of the incident as given by the Foreign Office spokesran on July 8, 1937.

bunal, this document that is referred to as an official document of the Foreign Office, it will be observed, is a document in English in the possession of the Foreign Office.

evidence the mere fact that it is in English would not make any difference. I would like to suggest another point, if the Court please, and that is this: This etatement is made on July 8, 1937, which is one day after the incident excurred. It is almost in the nature of a diary statement, or in the nature of what would be res gestae. Although, of course, not exactly parallel, it would seem to use that a statement of this kind is of the highest probative value.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority, the Court upholds the objection and rejects the document.

MR. LEVIN: We now offer in evidence defense document No. 1096, which is a statement of the Cabinet on rights to hold maneuvers in China, dated July 8, 1937.

THE H.ESIDENT: Brigadier Nolan.

ment as being another press release emanating from a Foreign Office spokesman. It is no different from the other documents that have already been rejected.

MR. LEVIN: Mr. President, the mere fact that it is a press release does not ipso facto make it the type of evidence which should not be admitted.

THE PRESIDENT: It clearly stands or falls with the others. The objection is upheld and the document rejected.

MR. LEVIN: I did want to point out, Mr.

President -- I hadn't quite concluded. I accept the ruling of the Court, but I did want to direct the attention of the Court to the fact that this is a statement of the Cabinet on the rights to hold maneuvers, and it seems to me that that came into a different category than the others.

We now call the witness HASHIMOTO, Gun.

GUN HASHIMOTO, called as a witness on behalf of the defense, being first duly sworn, testified through Japanese interpreters as 3 follows: THE PRESIDERT: We will recess for fifteen 5 minutes. 6 (Whereupon, at 1045, a recess was 7 taken until 1100, after which the proceed-8 ings were resumed as follows:) 9 MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International 10 Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed. h 11 1 THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Levin. 12 DIRECT EXAMINATION n 13 BY MR. LEVIN: 14 Will you please state your name, age, and 15 address? 16 My name, HASHIMOTO, Gun. My accress, Tokyo, 17 Setagaya-ku, Kitazawa, 4-Chome, No. 464. I was born 18 in the 19th year of Meiji, October 23; that is, 1877. 19 & So you are seventy years of age, is that 20 correct? 21 A According to Japanese reckoning I am sixty-two 22 years of age. 23 There will be handed you defense document 24

No. 973. Will you please state whether your signature

appears thereon?

THE INTERPRETER: Correction in the year in which the witness was born. He was born in 1886.

A This is my affidavit.

Q Are the contents of the affidavit true and correct?

A There is a mistake. There is one mistake.

Will you please state what that is and where it appears?

A Fourth line, sixth page of the Japanese text, paragraph XII.

THE INTERPRETER: In the English text the passage is to be found at the bottom of page 10.

A. (Continuing) Where it says "...on the same date" should be corrected to read "...on the night of the 11th."

Q Well, with that correction, is the statement true and correct?

A Yes.

MR. LEVIN: I now offer in evidence defense document No. 973 as corrected, which is the affidavit of HASHIMOTO, Gun.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No. 973

will receive exhibit No. 2487.

3

1

2

5

7

9

10

11

13 14

15

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

13

19

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2487 and received in evidence.)

MR. LEVIN: (Reading) "My name is HASHIMOTO; Gun. I served as Chief Staff Officer of the China Garrison Army from August 1936 to the end of August 1937. Luring that period the China Incident broke out. I am going to discuss the circumstances of those days.

"1. Concerning the force and disposition of the China Garrison Army. There were the following units: 1 Infantry Brigade (2 Regiments), 1 cavalry company, one artillery regiment (two battalions), one engineering company, 1 communication unit, military police, hospitals and the Special Service Organ (Pepin, Chang-chiakow, Taiyuan, Chinan, Tung-chow). The headquarters of the Army was in Tientsin. The headquarters of the Infantry Brigade was in Pepin. The headquarters of the 1st , Infantry Regiment was in Pepin, and the battalions were stationed in Pepin, Fengtai and Tientsin. Another small unit was in Tung-chow. As for the 2d Infantry Regiment, its headquarters and two battalions were stationed in Tientsin, (one battalion was in Shanhaikwan, and some units were in Tangku, Tang-shan and Chinhuangtao, which are situated along the railway line). The cavalry, artillery, and

20 21

22

24

engineering units and the hospitals were in Tientsin.

The headquarters of the military police was in Tientsin and some of its force were stationed in various other districts. Besides an officer attached to the Japanese Embassy was residing in Pepin. He was under the direct command of the General Staff Office and was also subject to the command of the commander of the Army.

"The acvisers on military affairs had been dispatched to the 29th Chinese Army. The total force was about 7,000.

"2. Concerning the Luties of the China Garrison Army.

"The China Garrison Army was charged with the same duties as those of the garrison armies of the other countries, these duties being based on the Protocol of the Boxer Incident of 1900. That is to say, it was charged with the security of communication lines from diplomatic establishments and Pepin to ports and harbors and with the protection of the Japanese residents.

"3. Concerning the names of the Commander and Chief officers of the China Garrison Army at the time of the outbreak of the Incident.

"The commander was originally Lt. General

1 2 3

 TASHIRO, Kanichiro, but he fell critically ill several days prior to the outbreak of the Lukouchiao Incident and died on the 9th. Lt. General KOZUKI, Seishi, was appointed his successor, The commander of the Infantry Brigade was Major General KAWABE, Shozo; the commander of the 1st Infantry Regiment, Colonel MUTAGUCHI, Renya; the Commander of the 2c Regiment, Colonel SUGASHIMA, Ko; the Commander of the Artillery Regiment, Colonel SUZUKI, Sotsudo; the Lirector of the Pepin Special Service Organ Major General MATSUI, Takuro; and the officer attached to the Embassy, Major IMAI, Takeo.

"4. Concorning the disposition of the Chinese Army in North China before the outbreak of the Incident.

"The 29th Army under the command of Sung Wen-Lin was disposed in Tientsin, Pepin, Changchiakow, Paoting and Taming districts (one division was stationed in each of the above-mentioned district). The army under the command of Yen Hsi-shan was in Shanhsi Province, and the army under the command of Han Fu-Chu was in Shantung Province. The Peace Preservation unit under the command of Yinju-Keng was in Kihtung District. The Central army was not stationed in North China. As I remember, exclusive of Shanhsi Province, the Chinese Army in North China numbered about 300,000.

"5. Concerning the nature of Kihtung

Anti-Communist Autonomy and the Kihtsa Political Affairs Committee.

"The Kihtung Anti-Communism Autonomy with Yinju-Keng as its chief, was evidently separated from the Nanking government and stood for an independent regime. The outstanding political object of this regime was the prevention of Communism. The Kihtsa Political Affairs Committee, with Sungwen-Lin as its chief, was the local self-governing body recognized by the Nanking government. Its fundamental principles had been carried out either by the instructions or through the counsel of the Nanking government.

"6. Concerning the attitude taken toward China by the China Garrison Army which was instructed by military authorities in Tokyo.

"The China Garrison Army strove tirelessly
for the promotion of economic and cultural cooperation
with Manchukuo and at the same time worked for the
elimination of the threat of Communism, instead of
presenting a political or military threat to China.

"When I took up the post of Chief of Staff
Officer of the China Garrison Army, I went to the
military authorities in Tokyo to report my appointment.
In the General Staff Office, as well as in the War
Ministry, I was told to strive for the promotion of

friendly relations with China.

"7. Concerning the plan of operation against China and preparations on the part of the Chinese Garrison Army before the outbreak of the Incident with regard to any operation against the Chinese Army.

"The military authorities in Tokyo gave no instructions whatsoever to the China Garrison Army.

"As we did not consider waging war with China at all, we did not work out a plan of operation against the Chinese Army. However, for the purpose of satisfactorily discharging the duties of the Garrison Army in case of emergency, that is, to protect diplomatic establishments and Japanese residents and to secure communication lines, various units worked out a garrison plan. This was, so to speak, a plan of passive defense. Now I shall emphasize the fact that the China Garrison Army made no preparation for operations against the Chinese Army.

"(a) As stated above the China Garrison Army had no plans for operations against the Chinese Army, but the outbreak of the Lukouchiao Incident did not warrant optimism. Such being the case, it was not until 16 July that the China Garrison Army worked out such plans for operations as to enable the army to be equal to any emergency. This could not be said to be

3 4

3

5

7

8

10

12 13

14

16

18

20

21

22

24

25

an over-all plan of operations against the Chinese Army. It was the plan of operations against only the 29th Army.

"(b) The China Garrison Army was no more than a simple garrison unit without the transport corps and automobiles essential for the task action of the army. Therefore, offensive warfare was impossible for this army.

"(c) Such war supplies as arms, ammunition, provisions, etc., essential for operation were not provided in full for the army, nor did the army hold any stock other than a regular supply.

"(d) The principal object of the education and training of the China Garrison Army in peace time was to form forces as well-equipped and powerful as those at home. We did not aim at the Chinese forces.

"VIII. I will relate here the relationship between the China Garrison Army and the Kwantung Army.

"As a result of the conclusion of the Tangku
Truce Pact between the Kwantung Army and the Chinese
Army, the Kwantung Army had been taking a responsible
part in North China problems. However, in April, 1936,
in accordance with the order of the central authorities,
affairs concerning the truce pact were transferred
from the Kwantung Army to the China Garrison Army,

of both armies were made quite clear. Since then both armies kept to their respective spheres of duty, hence no trouble whatever has occurred between these two armies.

"IX. I will describe here feelings between the Japanese and the Chinese forces and also between these two nations.

"As members of the Japanese Army, we strove, in accordance with the national policy of friendship between Japan and China, to convince the Chinese Army of our desire to strengthen the ties of amity with the Chinese Army.

"While the majority of the higher classes of the Chinese army well realized the necessity of Sino-Japanese cooperation and made efforts to achieve it, the lower officers and soldiers did not thoroughly understand the intentions of their seniors, and anti-Japanese speech and behavior has never ceased. In particular after the Suiyuang and the Hsian Incidents, the underground activity of the Communist Party grew more intense and, accordingly, there was no gainsaying the fact that the Chinese army was greatly influenced by this agitation.

"The feelings of the Japanese and the Chineso people at that time were, to our regret, not conducive to friendship, in spite of the indispensability of maintaining friendly relations between the two nations.

"Following is an enumeration of some substantial examples:

"On September 18, 1936, when the Japanese and the Chinese forces were on the march at Fengtai and passed each other on the road, a clash was about to break out originating from blows assailed by a Chinese soldier on a draught horse of the Japanese army. However, this was settled quietly, thanks to an appropriate measure taken by the leading officers of both armies.

3 4 5

"Also, military communications between Peipin and Tientsin were often broken. There were, in addition, cases of insults to the Japanese flag in some places.

"For example of the pro-Japanese feelings on the part of higher ranking Chinese officers, even when trouble had broken out and there were many bloody incidents at the front, although their emotions were becoming more and more excited, relations between the higher ranking men of both armies were as friendly and calm as usual. Mr. Sung Che-yuan even attended alone and unguarded the funeral of army commander TASHIRO held in the Japanese concession on the 16th of July. He cried bitterly before the spirit of the dead over losing such a close friend.

"X. Concerning the conflict between the Japanese and Chinese armies at Lukouchiao.

"The Lukochiao accident was neither a planned strategical move nor a challenge on the part of the Japanese side. The following will prove this fact:

"(a) The army commander, TASHIRO, had been ill in bed one month before the outbreak of the accident, had been growing worse day by day, and had finally died on July 9. If there had been any planned conspiracy on the Japanese side, it would have been better

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to change the army commander at once.

"(b) Brigade Commander KAWABE, the most responsible man, was not on the scene on the day of the accident, having gone to Shanhaikuan for an inspection.

- "(c) On the day of the incident the troops in Peipin had gone to Tung-chow for maneuvers and only one company of troops was left in Peipin. This was not enough to indicate that the incident had been planned.
- "(d) A battalion out of the infantry regiment in Tientsin was also dispatched to Shanhaikuan for maneuvers.
- "(e) Since the unit which was holding maneuvers at Lukouchiao had no live shells, and consequently could not return fire when shot at by the Chinese, they, therefore, retreated from the place to Mt. Ichimonji for refuge.
- "(f) The place where the company was holding maneuvers was strategically a very disadvantageous lowland surrounded by Yuanping and Lungwangmiao where the Chinese garrisons were located. Under such disadvantageous circumstances, it would have been very dangerous for the Japanese to initiate a disturbance.

"The objective circumstances were very

disadvantageous, rather dangerous, for the Japanese side as I have said above. It was impossible for the Japanese army to challenge the Chinese army under such conditions.

"XI. Concerning the maneuvers and the location of maneuvers of the Japanese army.

"The stationary troops in the North China of the countries had had their own maneuvering grounds under an agreement with the Chinese side in accordance with the protocol of the Boxer Uprising of 1900 (Meiji 33). Also, in accordance with an agreement with the Chinese, the Peipin troops had grounds in Tung-chow, and the Fengtai troops had both a parade ground at the north side of the barracks and maneuver grounds on a plain, three kilometres west of the barracks. The stationary troops in Tientsin or other places also had maneuver grounds. This was the case not only of the Japanese army but also of the troops of other countries.

"It is true, as Witness Chin Te-chun said, that the Japanese army carried out their maneuvers without any notice to the Chinese side. However, there was no obligation to give such notice. The protocol had given the troops of foreign countries the right to maneuver without notice. But in May of 1937,

the Chinese side wanted to be informed about night maneuvers, since they excited people and caused social uneasiness. If notified, Chinese authorities could forecast maneuvers to the people and relieve anxiety. We agreed to this request and decided to give notice of night maneuvers beforehand. As for the night maneuvers of July 7, as I found out after the incident had ended by inquiring through the special service agency, that it was clear that the Chinese had been notified.

"XII. Concerning the measures taken by the Japanese army after they had learned about the Lukou-chiao accident.

"The military authorities approved the first report from the front that a committee of Japanese and Chinese would investigate actual conditions.

"On July 8, in the morning, they heard from the front that the ICHIKI Battalion had attacked the Chinese army in Lungwangmiao. The authorities stopped action at the front at once and ordered the battalion to wait for the further instructions.

"The military authorities held a staff conference and determined to settle the problem without allowing it to spread any more. They issued orders to their units in accordance with this decision, telegraphed the decision to central headquarters, and awaited orders.

7 8

At the same time, they took steps to get Brigade

Commander KAWABE back to Peipin. When he passed

Tientsin, I instructed him by telephone to put a stop

to actions at the front according to the policy and to

settle the trouble on the spot. In order to avoid

misunderstandings of the policy of the military author
ities, I also dispatched a staff there.

"On July 8, at 6 P.M., the general staff, by telegram, ordered adherence to the non-spreading policy, and especially the avoidance of the use of force to prevent the spreading of the incident."

"The military authorities made a plan for settling the problem at the actual place and delivered it to headquarters. Then I went to Paipin and showed the plan to the Chinese authorities, who agreed to 1t and signed it on the "-" 9th, was that? "The main points are as follows:

- "(s) The representatives of the 29th Army will proclaim their responsibility for the incident, express their regret to the Japanese, and punish the responsible people.
- "(b) Since the Chinese army is too near to the Japanese army in Fengtai and incidents are apt to occur, there will henceforth be no troops in Lukouchiao and Lungwangmiao, and a Peace Preservation Corps will

maintain security.

2

1

3

4

5

6

-

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

24

25

"(c) As this incident was mainly led by the 'Blue Shirts Society' and other Communist or antiJapanese associations, the Chinese authorities will consider a counter-plan to control them thoroughly.

Thus, the treaty was signed and seeled, but the Japanese authorities did not soon carry out the treaty's promises.

"Observing conditions, the headquarters instructed the Army as follows.

"The following are the basic principles involved in settling the situation:"

I think I misread a word under (c) where it said, "Thus, the treaty was signed and sealed, but the Chinese authorities did not soon carry out the treaty's promises." Reading (A).

- "(A) To request at least the following points to be performed on the 19th of July.
- "(1) Sung Che-yuan shall express his regret formally.
- "(2) Punishments of the responsible are to extend to the dismissal of Feng Yeh-an.
- "(3) Troops in Papaoshan shall retreat from that point.
 - "(4) Sung Che-yuan shall sign the conditions

of the settlement presented on the 10th of July.

"(B) If the Chinese Army does not perform these request of ours in the period allowed, our Army will stop negotiations on the spot, and the Japanese Army will punish the 29th Army. In order to de that, the Japanese authorities will mobilize troops at home at the end of the time limit and dispatch them to North China at once.

"(C) After the time limit, even if the Chinese side does not yield and agree to perform our requests, the 29th Army is to retreat to the right bank of the River Yungting.

Yelden & Spratt

ment.

tion to North China and settle the trouble on the scene, we request the Nanking Government to restore the Central Army to its former state, to stop the challenging actions against Japan, and not to interfere with settlement at the actual scene. Thence, we sent the above instructions to the Chinese authorities. Sung Che-yuan accepted them, came to Tientsin himself on July 18, expressed his regret to the Army Commander, and presented the provisions for the control of the Communist and other anti-Japanese associations mentioned in the above agree-

"Thus, the Chinese side, though they accepted our offer, failed just as before to try to carry out its terms. Especially, they delayed the carrying out of the items of the agreement concerning the Chinese Army's evacuation. To the contrary, disgraceful affairs came out one after another in various places, and we did not find them at all sincere.

"13. I shall speak about the disgraceful affairs during the confrontation of both sides.

"On July 11: On Marco Polo Bridge the Chinese Army fired at us illegally and we had ten

casualties (six of them killed).

"On July 13: The Chinese Army fired unlawfully at Japanese Army Troops passing Nanyuan and Hotzun, and we had ten casualties (five of them killed).

"On July 16: The Japanese Army, while passing by the vicinity of Anping, was fired upon by the Chinese Army. Fortunately, there were no casualties.

"On July 20: On I Wentzu-Shan, the Chinese Army fired unlawfully at Japanese Army Troops and we had four casualties (one of them killed).

"On July 25: The Chinese Army fired unlawfully at Japanese soldiers who were repairing the communication line at Langfeng. There were fifteen casualties on the Japanese side (four of them killed).

"To prevent the occurrence of such an untoward incident, we had given them advance notice of the repair work and had begun to work. The attack was, therefore, malicious.

"On July 26: The Kwangan-gate Incident occurred. In this case also, we gave them advance notice, and with their consent, one of our battalions passed through the Kwangan gate in order to return to the camp in the Castle of Peking for the purpose of

2

1

5

4

7 8

9

10

11

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23 24

 Army shut the door of the walled city and attacked the Japanese soldiers who had already entered. This was a most malicious attack. We suffered seventeen casualties (two of them killed, and, in addition, two journalists killed.)

"14. Concerning the military action of mobilization and massing of the Japanese Army after the occurrence of the Marco Polo Bridge Incident. In the morning, on July 8, our stationary army in China decided not to allow the incident to spread and at the same time not to request for an increase in the Kwantung Army, but to mass the main power of the stationary army around Fengtai and Tanchou for the worst. This was arranged to prevent expansion of the incident. Nevertheless, on July 10, in the Central Headquarters of the Chinese Army, mobilization of the air force was ordered, and four divisions were commanded to gather at the north line of the Honan province.

"On July 12, it was ordered to mobilize the units in the province of Honan, Hopei, Anhwei and Kiangsu, and to mass them along the Lunghai railway and the Peiping-Hangkow railway.

"On July 14, Canton air force and army were

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

dispatched. Thus the Chinese showed a tendency toward advancing north; therefore, the position of our stationary army became dangerous. Headquarters in Tokyo put the 20th Battalion of Korea as well as the mixed brigade of the Kwantung Army, a mechanized brigade, some air units and other auxiliary units under command of the commander in chief of the stationary army in China. These units came to north China in succession after about July 12. Then Central Headquarters massed the 20th Army in the district of Tangshen and Shankaikwan (after that one part advanced to Tientsin); units of Kwantung Army, in the vicinity of Shuni, north of Peking; the main forces of the regular stationary army, in Fengtai; and a part of the same army in Tunchow.

"Afterwards negotiations with the Chinese were continued.

"After the stationary army in China came to take decisive steps other divisions in Japan proper were mobilized. Specifically on July 27 three divisions were ordered to mobilize. On the 29th the mobilization was completed and they were transported to north China in succession. It was on August 15 that the first of these divisions arrived in North

China.

3

1

2

4

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

"15. Concerning the motives of our stationary army for deciding the systematic attack against Nanyuan and the actual beginning of hostilities.

"After the malicious, disgraceful incidents at Langfang, Kwangan-gate, etc., had broken out in succession, more serious incidents might occur and dangerous consequence might fall upon us if the Japanese side remained patient any longer. In view of that possibility, we decided it proper to punish the Chinese in order to protect our right and to force the 29th army to reconsider its actions. We thus determined to attack, but we did not attack without warning.

"On July 25, as soon as the Langfang Incident broke out, we sent notification asking the Chinese side to reconsider. Again, on the 26th, when we met with the Kwangan-gate Incident, we sent an ultimatum, leaving room for reconsideration, but we received no answer to that. Thereupon, on the 28th we carried out the attack against Nanyuan. Notification sent after the Langfang Incident were as follows:

"'It is regrettable that both our forces

have come into collision at last, forced by unlawful fixing on the part of your forces against a part of the Japanese forces, which had been dispatched to protect communication lines at Langfang on the night of the 25th.

"Such a situation has been caused by your lack of sincerity in enforcing the conditions agreed upon with our forces and by your continued belligerent attitude. If your forces wish to keep this outbreak from spreading you first immediately order the troops near the Marco Polo Bridge and Papaoshan to retreat to Changsintien by tomorrow noon and that part of the 37th division in Peiping to move from the walled city of Peiping to the western district of Yungting River by noon of the 28th through the northern districts of the Peiping-Hankow Railways. They must be accompanied by the troops of the 37th division who are in Hsivnan. Thereafter you must begin the gradual transportation of these troops toward Paoting.

"'If your forces do not act upon our advice, we shall consider your forces as lacking in sincerity, and we shall, therefore, be forced to take suitable action. In that event your forces will be charged with responsibility for anything

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that occurs.'

"I cannot relate the note which was issued after the Kwanganmen Incident, since I have no record, but I remember that, by and large, they urged a reply to the above related note within a time limit.

"This resolution to wage war was not directed against China as a whole, but against only the 37th division, which was the most characteristically anti-Japanese of the units in the 29th Army. This was simply because of the fact that the army had truly intended to limit its activities even when operations were started. Especially, since the withdrawal of the 37th division had been demanded as shown in the aforesaid notification, it was but natural for the Japanese army to conclude that she had a right to leave other divisions at Tientsin and in the neighborhood of Peking. This determination of the army was reported to the Central Headquarters The Central Headquarters agreed to this and issued an order for the commencement of a movement.

"In the order, however, there was a clause which instructed the army 'not to go beyond the line along the river Yungting-ho.' By this clause

1 the army understood that the Central Headquarters 2 had not abandoned the hope of settling the question 3 at the spot.

"16. I should now like to tell the reasons 5 for the nonexpansion policy of the army and also to 6 discuss the attitude of the Central Headquarters.

"The reasons for which the army had taken 8 the non-expansion policy might be stated briefly as follows: It was considered improper to change the great policy of the state which had been to bring about good relations between Japan and China, simply because of a military conflict at a certain locality. Moreover, the Japanese Army stationed in China never thought of going into war with that country, and, consequently had no preparation for such a war. Again, we considered that if we opened war against China, it would grow into a racial conflict and that the conflict might be a prolonged war, due to the vastness of the Chinese territory. So, we determined to avoid a war with China as long as possible. Fortunately, the leaders of the Chinese Army, the other party of our negotiation, also had a 'nonexpansion' principle, and we believed that we would be able to reach a peaceful agreement. I have already mentioned in fragments the fact that various

18 19

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

measures were taken by Central Headquarters just in the same manner to prevent the expansion of the affair. In particular, Commander KAGETSU, on his arrival there to assume his new post, gave instructions to persist in the honexpansion' policy. Again, Chief of the General Affairs Section of the General Staff NAKAJINA and Chief of the Military Affairs Section of the War Ministry SHIBAYAMA were sent to the front to obtain full understanding of the nonexpansion policy of the Central Headquarters, on the part of the entire army, at the front.

11

12

13

14

15

18

20

21

I shall relate a strange event which "17. happened while the Japanese and the Chinese forces were face to face at the front. After the outbreak of the Marco Polo Bridge Incident, there were persons who often discharged guns from the flank to tempt the Chinese to open fire illegally which both the Japanese and the Chinese forces were in a state of mutual suspense. It was repeated almost every evening. I heard rumors that it was probably done by Chinese students or by Communist elements. I think there existed a third party's intrigue to provoke a conflict between the Japanese and the Chinese forces.

what witness Chin Te-chun has told about the establishment for the sake of the strengthening of military power. Mr. Chin Te-chun misunderstood the facts. One infantry battalion was stationed in Fengtai. However, our barracks were in railway property belonging to a railway station, and, since the area was small and inconvenient for sanitation as well as for drilling, we asked to borrow some land in the neighbourhood as a new site for our barracks. However, the authorities of the Hopei-Chahar Regime shirked their responsibility under the pretext that Chinese nations' opposed the move."

THE PRESIDENT: "Nationals," I suppose.

MR. LEVIN: What is that?

THE PRESIDENT: That should be "nationals," I take it.

MR. LEVIN: "Nationals opposed the move,"
I presume.

MR. LEVIN (Continuing): "Therefore we asked a few Chinese natives and discovered that their answer was favorable to us. Accordingly, we negotiated with the Chinese authorities, but the authorities ordered the Head of the Yuanping-hsien to compel the natives to write a document opposing us.

"Definite denial was not given to this request and as time went on the matter was left as it was. The conversation between Mr. Chin and myself written in Mr. Chin's oral statement is a pure fabrication. I met Mr. Sung-Che-yuan once only to urge him."

You may take the witness.

-

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

THE PRESIDENT: Judge Nyi.

JUDGE NYI: If it pleases this Tribunal, I shall proceed with the cross-examination.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY JUDGE NYI:

Q Mr. Witness, you have mentioned here in your affidavit the long illness of General TASHIRO. Was there an acting commander during his illness and before his successor assumed office?

A No.

Q Then can I take it that you were virtually in command of the Garrison Army during that period?

A The command continued to be in the hands of General TASHIRO during his illness.

Q But he was so seriously ill, as you say, and he died right after the incident of Marco Polo?

A Until General TASHIRO, Commander of the North China Army, became extremely, seriously ill he was in command of his own mind, he was fully conscious, and from his bed took direct command of the affairs of his army. However, he became very seriously ill about two or three days prior to the outbreak of the incident, whereupon an application was made with the Central Army Authorities to appoint a successor.

Q When did the successor come to assume of ice?

A I recall that he came on July 13.

Q At the middle of page 2 of your affidavit you stated that a China Garrison Army was charged with the security of communication lines from Peiping to the sea and with the protection of Japanese residents.

On the top of page 4 you also stated that the China Garrison strove tirelessly for the promotion of the economic and cultural cooperation with Manchukuo. That later statement contains a very unusual assignment of a political nature for a garrison army. My question will be:

Was it the policy of your government to guide North China in a way as to realize the unity and the mutual aid of Japan, Manchukuo and China which would mean eventually the Chinese recognition of Man-chukuo?

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Levin.

MR. LEVIN: I object to the question on the ground that it is argumentative and embodies two or three questions in one.

THE PRESIDENT: It is far too long, Dr. Nyi.
JUDGE NYI: Yes, I will try to correct it.

THE PRESIDENT: We are always insisting here on short, clear questions otherwise we will have difficulty with the translation. Split it up, omitting

11

10

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

20

21

22 23

anything of an argumentative nature.

JUDGE NYI: I appreciate your Honor's direction and I will make it very short. I will simply ask the witness:

Q Was it the policy of the government, the Japanese government, to guide North China in a way to realize the unity and mutual aid of Japan, Manchukuo and China so that there will be an eventual recognition of Manchukuo by China?

MR. LEVIN: I object to the question, Mr. President, on the ground it goes beyond the scope of the evidence of the witness and there is nothing to indicate that he could speak for the policy of the government of Japan.

THE PRESIDENT: If I recollect rightly the witness did purport to state the policy of Japan on that matter. The objection is overruled.

Q Will you please answer my question?

A In my affidavit I stated that the original and basic duty and assignment of the North China Garrison was to protect the -- was to secure communication lines and to protect Japanese nationals. The second passage referred to, that is, the promotion of Japanese-Manchukuo friendship and good will, that reflects the attitude which the North China army should

3

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

18

19

21

22

23 24

25

take in its relationship with North China.

THE MONITOR: "North" is omitted. China Garrison Army in China-through China.

A I think the Japanese policy can be determined on the basis of these two points I just referred to. That is, as regards the assignment of the China Garrison, I cannot make any very definite, positive testimony with regard to the point just raised by the prosecutor.

Q But your attitude is based on some policy, isn't it?

A That policy was the promotion of good will and amity with China and in accordance with the policy and aim of the Central Authorities, that is, the government, to foster especially in North China amicable, cultural and economic relations in mutual cooperation with the people of China.

Q So finally you admit that there was a policy? THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Levin.

MR. LEVIN: We object to that, Mr. President. The answer speaks for itself.

JUDGE NYI: Your Honor, I made this statement because the witness in the beginning said that he couldn't determine whether there was any policy. He merely referred to it as an attitude.

THE PRESIDENT: There is a fine distinction -you may appreciate it, I do not -- between attitude
and policy. I know they are different words but in
this context probably they mean the same thing.

We will recess now until half-past one.

(Whereupon, at 1200, a recess was taken.)

0 r

AFTFRNOON SESSION

The Tribunal met, pursuant to recess, at 1330.

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International 3 Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

GUN HASHIMOTO, a witness called on behalf of the defense, resumed the stand and testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

THE PRESIDENT: Judge Nyi.

JUDGE NYI: Mr. President, with regard to 12 apan's policy of guiding North China, I wish to 13 nvite the Tribunal's attention to prosecution's 14 xhibit 219. It appears on page 2748 of the record. do not propose to read it in the interest of saving one tested we note represent it present, it

BY JUDGF NYI (Continued):

Now, Mr. Vitness, will you tell us how did you strive to achieve the object of promoting economic cultural cooperation with Manchukuo?

Between Manchukuo and what country?

Well, in your affidavit you only say that wanted to promote cultural and economic cooperation y23u 24

21

25

The PRESENT THE Jungs Myt. in dium della arminopiace.

areasts called of maring upon a three I than to triggers the market attachers

HASHIMOTO

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

f &

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

18

19

20

21 22

23

25

with Manchukuo. I don't know which you are referring to. Probably North China.

A I have no recollection as to any special efforts made with regard to the promotion of economic matters between North China and Manchukuo.

Q Which were you referring to when you state on page 2 that you strove tirelessly for that objective?

I think I stated in my affidavit that efforts were made to promotegood will between North China and Japan.

Q Well, you didn't say, "Promote good will between NorthChina and Manchukuo." You stated on page 4, "of economic and cultural cooperation with Manchukuo."

MR. LEVIN: Mr. President, I have just been advised by one of my Japanese colleagues that the translation on page 4, although it does have the word "Manchukuo" is in error and it should be "China," and Mr. OKAMOTO is now discussing the matter with Major Moore. I would like to direct the attention of the Tribunal to that fact.

THE PRESIDENT: We refer it to the Language Section. Pass on to something else, Judge Nyi.

Q Now if it was China that you were referring to with whom, that you were referring to, would the

cooperation be? Between China and whom?

A China and Japan.

Q Then tell us how you strove tirelessly for that objective. In what way?

A First of all our foremost efforts were made in the promotion of good will and amicable relations with various circles in North China.

Q Is that all?

A With regard to concrete matters, efforts were made whenever any particular question arose to settle them in accordance with the spirit of amity.

Q Does it include the execution of a propaganda scheme?

A If by propaganda you mean the introduction of Japan and Japanese affairs in North Chine, that was included.

Q Does your program include establishment of secret service agency?

A No.

Q Does your program include the free importation of goods from Manchukuo and Japan and you have the Japanese term for it Tokushu Koeki?

THE PRESIDENT: Meaning what?

JUDGE NYI: Special trade.

A No.

2

1

4

(

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

THE INTERPRETER: The witness replied it was not included.

Q You mention Manchukuo in your affidavit. Do you, as a high ranking officer in the Garrison Army, know that your government desired to utilize the Marco Polo Bridge Incident to press for Chinese recognition of Manchukuo?

A No.

Q Do you know that your government was always keen in bringing about harmonious collaboration among Japan, Manchukuo and China?

MR. LEVIN: I object to the form of this question as not within the purvue of the affidavit, if the Court please.

THE PRISIDENT: I think it is. It is very hard to say what is or is not, but the first part of the affidavit is pretty broad.

JUDGE NYI: Could the witness be asked this question, your Honor?

THE PRESIDENT: Answer the question, witness.

- A May I have the question repeated?
- Q Did you know that your government was always keen in bringing about harmonious collaboration among Japan, Manchukuo and China?
 - A Yes, that is the way I thought.

3

5

7

8

9

10

12

13 14

15

16

18

20

21

22 23

Q Do you also know that they were anxious to ask China to recognize Manchukuo?

A Yes.

Q Now was that the real reason why an agreement for the cessation of hostilities following the Marco Polo Bridge could not be reached?

A I don't understand the purport of that question.
A truce was agreed upon.

Q But do you know that something was going on between thina and Japan that the recognition of Manchukuo should be one of the conditions to end the hostilities?

A I don't think such a thing existed.

paragraph, you mention that your right to station troops and to hold maneuvers was derived from the treaties. Do you know that you could only occury twelve specific points on the line between Peking and the sea?

A Yes.

Q Now where was the Marco Polo Bridge? Was it situated on that line?

A Marco Polo Bridge was outside of that line.

Q Do you also know that by treaty stipulations the right to station troops is limited to a distance of two miles on both sides of the line from Peking

3

7

8

9

11

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

22

24

25

to the sea?

A I interpret your word "stationing" as to mean garrison.

Q Yes.

A And Japanese troops were not stationed or garrisoned in that sense at Marco Polo. I think the problem is with respect to Fengtai.

Q Marco Polo Bridge was beyond the two miles limit. You don't deny that, do you?

A Japanese troops were in the vicinity of Lukouchiao or the Marco Polo Bridge for the purpose of maneuvers, not for garrison duty or to be stationed there.

14

10

11

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

24

Bio.

Q Now, in the last paragraph on page 9 of your affidavit, about 10 lines from the bottom, you stated this: "But in May of 1937, the Chinese side wanted to be informed about night maneuvers, since they excited people and caused social uneasiness. If notified, Chinese authorities could forecast maneuvers to the people and relieve anxiety. We agreed to this request and decided to give notice of night maneuvers beforehand."

Now, since you knew quite well that these maneuvers "excited people and caused social uneasiness," why did you persist on holding them?

A It was a request on the part of the Chinese that notice be given beforehand of night maneuvers, because it would tend to excite the people and create social unrest. That was a Chinese request.

We conducted maneuvers as maneuvers were carried on in Japan proper by day and by night, and, with regard to the right to hold maneuvers, it was guaranteed by the basic treaty.

Q New, will you tell us how often did you hold these maneuvers; how frequently?

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Levin.

MR. LEVIN: Mr. President, I submit that that question is irrelevant and immaterial, because, if they

had a right to hold maneuvers then there is and there was no limitation as to time. They could hold them whenever they saw fit.

THE PRESIDENT: The ouestion is not irrelevant. What Mr. Levin suggests may appear later, but it does not render the question irrelevant. The objection is overruled.

A It was different at times, because that depended upon the intensity of the training as it was carried on. Night maneuvers were held most intensively, in my recollection, between April and May and September and October -- until September and October yearly. I cannot say how many times such maneuvers were carried on, but I can say that they were held quite frequently.

THE PRESIDENT: A colleague who knows says that soldiers must be continuously trained and the question is of very little interest.

Q Witness, Masakazu KAWABE testified yesterday on page four of his affidavit that it was conducted almost every night. Was that right?

A Yes, at that time I should think that was correct. That was right. However, I must add that maneuvers were carried on every night, but not of the same troops. Different army units were trained every

.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

night in a very confined area.

Q Do you suggest that you hold maneuvers as frequently as every night in Japan too?

MR. LEVIN: We object to that as incompetent and immaterial, if the Court please.

THE MONITOR: The witness replied "That is not so; you are speaking of a different matter."

JUDGE NYI: Your Honor, the witness was just telling us that they were conducting maneuvers in the same way as they did in Japan, and now he says that they were conducted differently.

THE PRESIDENT: I suppose you are suggesting that they were going --

A That is because the conditions of maneuver were different. That is why it became nightly.

Q Did other foreign guards hold maneuvers frequently too in China -- in Peking?

MR. LEVIN: We object to that as incompetent and immaterial and without the scope of the affidavit; and the rights to maneuver of the Japanese army was based on authority, and what the other armies did could not have any relation to what the Japanese army did.

JUDGE NYI: Your Honor, in my submission, it offers a comparison of the situation.

-

15 16

17

18

20

21

23

THE PRESIDENT: The purpose is to show the Japanese engaged in an excessive amount of maneuvering as compared with what happened in Japan and China with other troops. The objection is overruled. By JUDGE NYI (Continued):

Q Did you think that you could accomplish your purpose of strengthening the ties of amity with the Chinese by disturbing them at night?

THE PRESIDENT: That question is a bit premature. You have yet to establish that there was more maneuvering than there should have been.

JUDGE NYI: Excuse me.

Q I would like to have the witness answer to my former question: Did other foreign guards hold frequent maneuvers too?

A In my recollection, the night maneuvers on the part of other foreign garrisons were much, much less than Japanese. I think that arises from the fact that the Japanese policy for the education and training of troops was different.

Q And so you found it necessary to hold these maneuvers so frequently as to ignore the social uneasiness and anxiety of the Chinese; is that the way you think?

A According to our method of conducting

7 8

maneuvers a certain number -- specified number -of maneuvers had to be carried on in accordance
with established regulations.

THE PRESIDENT: Were the maneuvers special or just ordinary?

THE WITNESS: Ordinary maneuvers.

you mention the four points then presented to the Chinese to be performed on 19th July and you went on to state at the middle of the page beginning with (B): "If the Chinese Army does not perform these requests of ours in the period allowed, our Army will stop negotiations on the spot, and the Japanese Army will punish the 29th Army. In order to do that, the Japanese authorities will mobilize troops at home at the end of the time limit and dispatch them to North Chine at once."

Do you know that, as a matter of fact, the Japanese Cabinet had decided to dispatch troops to China on July 11? How do you explain that?

A All I spoke about is what we, on the spot -what instructions we, on the spot, received from the
central authorities, but at that time we were not
familiar as to what actually occurred in Japan itself.

JUDGE NYI: In this connection I wish to

4

5

7

9

10

12

14

17

18

16

19

21

24

maneuvers a certain number -- specified number -of maneuvers had to be carried on in accordance
with established regulations.

THE PRESIDENT: Were the maneuvers special or just ordinary?

THE WITNESS: Ordinary maneuvers.

you mention the four points then presented to the Chinese to be performed on 19th July and you went on to state at the middle of the page beginning with (B): "If the Chinese Army does not perform these requests of ours in the period allowed, our Army will stop negotiations on the spot, and the Japanese Army will punish the 29th Army. In order to do that, the Japanese authorities will mobilize troops at home at the end of the time limit and dispatch them to North China at once."

Do you know, that, as a matter of fact, the Japanese Cabinet had decided to dispatch troops to China on July 11? How do you explain that?

A All I spoke about is what we, on the spot -what instructions we, on the spot, received from the
central authorities, but at that time we were not
familiar as to what actually occurred in Japan itself.

JUDGE NYI: In this connection I wish to

invite the Tribunal's attention to prosecution exhibit 219, which appears on page 3487 of the record which includes -- which mentions the decision of the Japanese Cabinet on 11th of July to dispatch troops to China.

Greenberg & Barton

Q Now, Mr. Witness, on page 13, middle of the page, you mention the Langfan Incident. Do you know how far is Langfan from Peking and from Tientsin?

A It is midway between Peiping and Tientsin.

Q Was it strategically important for operations in the area between Peking and Tientsin?

A Strategically important point.

Q Did the Japanese bombard the Chinese from warplanes in that incident?

A The fighting continued from midnight to dawn, and it was toward dawn that Japanese aircraft bombed this place.

Q Did you find it necessary to do so?

A At that time we thought it was absolutely necessary. At that time it was absolutely necessary. The repair unit from the Communication Corps, consisting of only one company, was used at that time. As against this small force, Langfan was the headquarters of the 38th Division with a strength of one brigade and another company, these forces encircling the entire Langfan station.

THE MONITOR: "One company" is corrected to "one regiment." Langfan was the brigade headquarters of the 38th Division with the strength of one regiment which encircled the entire station at that time.

A (Continuing) If no measures had been taken, our very small unit of one company would have been annihilated at Langfan because they were surrounded, and, therefore, air bombing was undertaken to save them.

Q How far was Langfan from Fengtai?

- A I do not recall the distance.
- Q Was it very near?

A Yes. No. There was quite a distance between the two points.

- Q Approximately how far?
- A Twenty or thirty kilometres, maybe more.
- Q That's about half an hour's ride, isn't it?
- A Before replying to that question, I should like to consult some references because the mileage is not in my recollection at the present moment.

THE MONITOR: Reference such as maps, and so forth.

Q Why couldn't you ask for reinforcement from Fengtai where you stationed troops if an exigency really existed?

A They could not have been sent in time because the lines of communication had been severed, and the party which went to repair that particular line was subjected to attack.

4

16

15

10

11

12

13

14

17 18

19

20

22

23 24

3

7

5

9

11 12

14 15

13

16

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

Q But you realize as a soldier the seriousness of using airforce, do you?

A We believed the situation was so acute that it was unavoidable.

Q On the same page you mentioned the Kuang-An Men Incident. You say that you gave advance notice of the entry of Japanese troops to the walled city of Peiping. How much time in advance?

A I do not recall definitely. The Japanese Army troops on the spot gave advance notification to the Chinese authorities there.

Q If you are not very clear about the situation, if your recollection is not very clear, let me refresh your mind. I am reading from an affidavit by SAKURAI, who just appeared yesterday as a witness, on page 9 of his affidavit:

"The telegram stated that the Battalion would enter from Kuang-An Men about 4 P.M. As it seemed wise to avoid giving the Chinese enough time to excite their suspicion by informing them of the entry too early, consequently making the entry impossible, we telephoned to Chang Wuo-chin" --

THE PRESIDENT: He can't hold the balance of the question in mind so long. Do try to paraphrase.

Q (Continuing) It was stated by SAKURAI that they tried to get in contact with the secretary of Ching Te-chun by 3:30 p.m. on that day, but they have failed to get him, and SAKURAI proceeded to the spot, Kuang-An Men, at 3:50 p.m., and that the troop was scheduled to come at 4:00 p.m. As no previous arrangement had been made with proper authorities, would you call it sufficient time or sufficient advance notice?

THE PRESIDENT: I do not know how the witness can understand you; we cannot. The bench must appreciate what the question is as well as the witness.

JUDGE NYI: May I just give him the time and ask him what he would think?

Q The troops were to come at 4:00 o'clock, and you tried to get to the secretary of Chin Te-chun at 3:30, but you didn't get him, and you proceeded to the spot, that is, Kuang-An Men, at 3:50 and tried to negotiate with the inferior officials on the spot. Was that sufficient advance notice?

A With respect to the last part of the statement just made by you, Mr. Prosecutor, the Tientsin garrison did not hear of that incident at Kuang-An Men until some time around or after midnight. It

9

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

HASHIMOTO

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

was unable to learn of such details. But the real question is the feeling which was entertained by the headquarters -- by the Tientsin garrison headquarters at the time it received the report of the incident at Kuang-An Men, which was this: that, after half of one battalion had gone through the walls, that is, had gone through the gate, the Chinese closed the gate and began to shoot at the other half in an attempt to annihilate the other half of the battalion. That is how the incident broke out. Up to this time the army had exercised utmost patience and forbearance in pursuit of the policy of non-aggravation of the incident. But, with the outbreak of such an incident, the camel's back, so to speak, was broken and a very serious decision had to be made to meet the new situation.

Q You are quite ahead of my question. You are trying to give me an account of the incident itself, but I am asking you whether you really had the advance notice. Was it true that a state of emergency had been proclaimed by the Chinese authorities after the Marco Polo Bridge Incident?

A I am not clear as to what stand or position the Chinese took.

Q Was it true that an ultimatum had been sent

23

24

to the Chinese authorities with regard to the Langfan Incident on that same day when the Kuang-An Men Incident occurred?

A Yes.

Q Considering all these circumstances, would you agree with SAKURAI that it was wise to avoid giving Chinese enough time or enough advance notice?

A Whether I would agree or not agree with him, it was what SAKULAI did. I do not know what actually took place. Inasmuch as SAKULAI took such a step, I should think it was wise.

Q how do you know that the first shot was fired by the Chinese?

A A report from the field.

Q From the field? What sort of report did you receive? From whom?

A From Peiping. I think it was the commander of the regiment there, but I am not quite clear. I think it was from the commander of the regiment.

Q As Chief of Staff of the Kwantung -- of the North China garrison, did you make any investigation to confirm what is reported?

A Yes, I ordered an investigation.

Q Now, why did you order the troops to enter Kuang-An Men as there are many gates in Peking?

N

14

1

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

A I did not issue any order.

Q And did you make -- ever m

Q And did you make -- ever make any investigation as to why this gate was chosen, as this was a very small gate and that the proper gate to enter would be Yung Ting Men?

THE HRESIDENT: You cannot give evidence, Doctor. Ask him whether the other gate was the proper gate.

Q (Continuing) Please answer the question.

A I do not know which gate was most frequently used ordinarily.

Q Was it for the same purpose as conceived by SAKURAI, to take the Chinese by surprise, to order the troops to go through a small gate?

A I do not think that such a consideration existed.

THE PRESIDENT: He said the Chinese consented. There would be no surprise if that were so.

Q Now, on page 16 you stated that this resolution to wage war was not directed against China as a whole but against the 37th Division. Is the 30th Division a part of the Chinese Army?

THE PRESIDENT: 37th.

JUDGE NYI: 37th.

A I think it was regular army.

17

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

20

21 22

23

24

25

- 03

Q Did you say that you were not waging war against China when you were fighting a part of the Chinese regular army?

A What is your meaning? It is not clear to me. What do you mean?

Were not waging war against China as a whole because you were fighting against an army, a certain army.

A That was exactly the feeling. That was exactly the thought at that time. That is the feeling, to eliminate that which restricted the legitimate actions of the Japanese Army and threatened its existence.

Q You didn't answer my question.

THE PRESIDENT: I don't think it is worth pressing now, Judge.

JUDGE NYI: All right.

Q Now, on page 17 you stated that it was the great policy of the State that had been to bring about good relations between Japan and China, and that the Japanese Army in China had never thought of going into war with that country and, consequently, had no preparation for such a war. Now, General Chin Te-chun testified in this Tribunal that you went to see him in the winter of 1936 and asked him

for buying of land in order to build barracks and air fields. What was the necessity of that?

A First of all, the idea that I went to see Chin Te-chun is groundless. As I have stated at the end of my affidavit, the request for land was made because the barracks at Tung-chow happened to be located in a very circumscribed area where sonitary conditions were poor and the ground unfit for training, and that is why a request was made for more appropriate land in the vicinity. The idea of establishing an airfield did not exist in our minds at the time.

- Q Did you see General Chin Te-chun about it?
- A Yes, once.
- Q You pressed for the same purpose?
- A Yes, for that purpose.

Q Now, on page 18 of your affidavit you stated that there were persons who fired in order to tempt the Chinese to open fire illegally on both Japanese and the Chinese forces.

THE MONITOR: Will the court reporter please repeat that?

(Whereupon, the last question was read by the official court reporter.)

JUDGE NYI: Excuse me. It was wrong. I

should not say "fired" -- to tempt the Chinese to open fire illegally which both the Japanese and the Chinese forces were in a state of mutual suspense; and I take it that a "which" should be substituted by a "why."

THE MONITOR: Mr. Prosecutor, that is a difficult task for the Language Section to do because the grammatical structure is different.

JUDGE NYI: I think the meaning is "why," if I don't propose for a correction as I have not the right to do.

THE PRESIDENT: Stop at the word "illegally," and you will have your question sufficiently full.

JUDGE NYI: All right.

Q Did you get my question, Mr. Witness?

A Yes, I so stated.

Q Now, since you state that this firing was to tempt the Chinese, and, judging from the position, it is very likely that the shooting came from nearer to the Japanese side; was it true?

A We understood this on the basis of reports from the field. We were not familiar with such details.

Q You stated in your affidavit, and I take it that you have an exact situation in mind, so I wish

.

21

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

24

1 you will elaborate on this -- the position of the shooting, as I think it is a very important question.

CROSS

MR. LFVIN: I submit, Mr. President, the question has already been answered, and he's testified that he was not there and the information he gave was from a report that he received.

THE PRESIDENT: The exact point from which the firing came won't help the Bench. Apparently, the firing was on the Chinese, if it occurred.

I just have another topic in mind to crossexamine you, Mr. Witness. That is with respect to the Kih Tung Anti-Communism Autonomy. You mentioned them on page 3 of your efficavit, that Kih Tung Anti-Communism Autonomy, with Yin Ju-keng as its chief, was evidently separated from the Nanking Government, end it stood for an independent regime. Do you know when was that first organized?

I think it was toward the end of 1935. THE PRESIDENT: We will recess for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1445, a recess was taken until 1500, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

24

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

you will elaborate on this -- the position of the shooting, as I think it is a very important question.

MR. LEVIN: I submit, Mr. President, the question has already been answered, and he's testified that he was not there and the information he gave was from a report that he received.

THE PRESIDENT: The exact point from which the firing came won't help the bench. Apparently, the firing was on the Chinese, if it occurred.

examine you, Mr. Witness. That is with respect to the Kih Tung Anti-Communism Autonomy. You mentioned them on page 3 of your affidavit, that Kih Tung Anti-Communism Autonomy, with Yin Ju-keng as its chief, was evidently separated from the Nanking Government, and it stood for an independent regime. Do you know when was that first organized?

A / I think it was toward the end of 1935.

THE PRESIDENT: We will recess for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1445, a recess was taken until 1500, after which the proceed-ings were resumed as follows:)

Duda & Whalen

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MARSHAL OF THE COULT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Dr. Nyi.

DR. NYI: I wish to call the attention of the Tribunal to the fact that the word 'Kihtung,' I suppose it was rarely used before, referred to the Eastern Hopei Anti-Comintern Autonomous Regime. They were the same thing.

- Q Does the witness agree with that?
- A Yes, I know.
- Q Now, I was asking you when was it first organized. And now my next question is; was it the accused DOHIHARA who was responsible for its creation?

A I do not think he was responsible for its creation.

Q Do you know that he was sent by the accused MINAMI to North China in 1935 to organize or promote autonomy of the five previnces, provincial autonomy, and failing to do that he created that Kihtung Regime?

MR. LEVIN: I object to that question on the ground that it is beyond the scope of the affidavit and the testimony of the witness.

THE INTERPRETER: The witness just replied:
I do not know anything about that.

THE PRESIDENT: He shouldn't be asked to deny

it twice. He denied it in the first case. He said DOHIHARA had nothing to do with it.

Q Now, Mr. Witness, you stated that Kihtung was maintaining independence from Nanking Regime.
What sort of relation you have with the Kihtung Regime?

A We were related with the East Hopei Regime because this was within the geographical scope of the assignment and duty of the China garrison.

Q Was it consistent with your policy of strengthening the ties between Japan and China?

A Yes; consistent I think.

Q You mean it wouldn't hurt the feeling of the Nanking Government to recognize or to have anything to do with the Kihtung Regime which had an entirely independent status?

A This was an entirely internal affair with China, and it was our policy not to connect ourselves in any way with the establishment or actions of a political nature with such internal regimes.

Q Do you know anything about the Tungchow Incident on 20th of July 1937?

A Yes. I am familiar with the fact that taking advantage of the fact that Japanese troops were extremely short-handed, the Peace Preservation Corps, that is, the Chinese Peace Preservation Corps carried

3

1

5

7

8

9

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

22

23

on a large-scale massacro of Japanese residents at Tungchow.

Q But the Kihtung Government was all the time under the domination of Japanese influences, wasn't it?

A It is not a fact that the East Hopei Regime was deminated by the influence of Japan. It is a fact, however, that amicable relations were maintained with that regime.

DR. NYI: Mr. President, this concludes my cross-examination.

MR. LEVIN: There will be no further examination, Mr. President. May the witness be excused on the usual terms?

THE PRESIDENT: He is excused accordingly.

(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)

MR. LEVIN: I now call the witness TANAKA,

MR. TAVENNER: If your Honor please --THE PRESIDENT: Yes, Mr. Tavenner.

MR. TAVENNER: I notice that the next document on the order list is another affidavit by the same witness who just testified. I would merely like to inquire whether the affidavit offered is to be the sole affidavit used, or whether this affidavit is

22

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

Shinichi.

25

24

25

TANAKA, Shinichi.

proposed to be used in a subsequent phase? 1 MR. LEVIN: I had overlooked that matter, 2 Mr. President, I intended to state that it is in-3 tended to use that affidavit in a subsequent phase. 5 SHINICHI TANAKA, called as a witness 6 7 on behalf of the defense, being first duly sworn, testified through Japanese interpreters as 9 follows: 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MA. LEVIN: 11 Please state your name, age and address. 12 Name, TANAKA, Shinichi; age 54; address 13 No. 2042 Suizawa-mura, Mie County, Mie Prefecture. 14 15 The Marshal of the Court will hand you defense document No. 925. Will you please state whether 16 17 your signature appears thereon? 18 Yes. 19 Are the contents of the said document true 20 and correct? 21 True and correct. 22

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

MR. LEVIN: I offer in evidence defense

document No. 925, which is the affidavit of the witness,

CLERK OF THE COUNT: Defense document No. 925 will receive exhibit No. 2488.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense document No. 2488 and received in evidence.)

MR. LEVIN: I shall omit the formal part.

"I. I, TANAKA, Shinichi, formerly a lieutenantgeneral, live at No. 2,042, Mizawa-mura Yokoseko, Mie District, Mie Prefecture.

"I occupied the post of the chief of the Military Affairs Section, war Service Bureau, War Ministry, from March of 1937 to February of 1939, and had charge of the affairs concerning the organization, equipment, budget, mobilization and reinforcement of our forces, under the command of the director of the bureau.

"It was about the middle of March, 1937, that I arrived at my post. When I paid a visit of courtesy to Minister of War SUGIYAMA, he pointed out that the time had come to try strenuously to avoid all foreign troubles and devote ourselves to the completion of national defense; that the Army would try to modernize its armaments and particularly to improve and complete its war materials; and that the Military Affairs Section should make a special effort to improve the

3 4

2

6

7

8

10

11

12

15

15 16

17

18

19

20

22

24

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

organization and equipment of our forces.

"II. As to whether or not our Japanese Army had a plan of operations against China before the outbreak of the China Incident, the General Staff Headquarters of the Japanese Army had no plan of operations for the war with China before the outbreak of the China Incident. While I was engaged in the affairs concerning the organization, reinforcement and mobilization of our forces, as the Chief of the Military Affeirs Section, War Service Bureau, War Ministry, I never received any report or information concerning the mobilization and operational preparation necessary for any plan of operations against China, either from the General Staff or from the superior authorities. This means that the General Staff had no preparatory plan of this kind at that time. For the plan of operations as well as that of mobilization and operational preparation were just in its charge, but the realization of such plans was necessarily accompanied by the strength. munitions and expenditure. Therefore they could not be realized without the approval and cooperation of the War Ministry, and the Chief of Military Affairs Section would have had to be informed of the business concerning such plans, all the more so because I arrived at my post in March -- at the end of the fiscal

year.

"III. As to whether or not the Japanese Army was then so situated as to be able to attempt Chinese operations in China, in view of the strength and munitional materials of the Japanese Army at that time, it would have been almost impossible to attempt operations in China.

"1. In 1937, when the China Incident broke out, Japan had only a peace-time strength of 17 divisions and a var-time one of 30 divisions. In the judgment of the General Staff as well as of the War Minister, as later referred to in this affidavit, it was very dangerous for the Army to operate in China with the fighting strength available at the time in view of the fact that China had a force 20,000,000 strong (200 divisions), and a vest land as well as the social idiosyncracies of the Chinese people.

"In addition, we had to be greatly concerned in the Russian military preparations in the Far East. According to the information received by the General Staff as to the Russian preparations for war with Japan, she had a standing peace-time strength of 28 sniper divisions, 45 cavalry divisions, 6 mechanized brigades and 1,900 aircraft for the Far E-st, including the Siberian Military District, together with a

mechanized units and air units for Outer Mangelia.

The minimum war-time strength that she could use against

Japan amounted to 31 or 50 divisions, of which mobilization, concentration and reinforcement were to be

sufficiently secured. It was concluded, therefore,

that it would be dangerous and inadvisable to carry out

operations in China under such circumstances, taking
into consideration the movements of the Soviet Union.

In this connection, after the Marco Polo Bridge Incident broke cut in July, 1937, the General Staff gave

the following explanation:

'Considering the Chinese situation, the present incident is liable to turn into a head-on, protracted wer between Japan and China. If so, we could use only 11 divisions in these Chinese operations, or, adding the reserve corps of the Army, 15 divisions in total. But, if we should use half our war-time strength, totalling 30 divisions in the plan of mobilization, in the Chinese Area for a long time, then we are sure to meet with a serious crisis from the view-point of national defense. So it is necessary to take every possible measure for the immediate settlement of the incident.'

"As I was present when this explanation was

DILECT

made, I know that the War Minister was in entire accordance with the explanation.

"2. The production and maintenance of munitions were under as much difficulty and disadvantage as the above-mentioned problem of military strength.

"According to the Mobilization Plans Bureau of the War Ministry, having charge of the production and maintenance of munitions it was very difficult to mobilize and dispatch about 15 divisions and even to maintain operations in the incident at the time, in view of our concitions of production and supply of munitions. In 1937, our Army had only an eight months! stock of ammunition for fifteen divisions. As to the ability of munitions mobilization, we could barely hope to meet the operational consumption of 15 reinforced divisions in about eight or nine months after the mobilization was commenced, and a continuous supply of special materials was impossible. As regards the arms, supposing that we were able to use all the arms we had in stock and bring our munition mobilization to a maximum, it would have been difficult to meet the operational consumption of 15 divisions, and this was especially the case with special materials. Therefore, if we should go to a protracted war with China, it would not be sufficient to use all the stocks and maximum munitions mobilization in the operations in China, and if our relations with another country should be

2

5

7

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1strained under such circumstances, we were sure to be 2 at a loss what to do for self-defence. Generally 3 speaking, with our anticipated munitions mobilization 4 for 1937, and guaranteed a supply of 70 per cent of munitions, including arms and ammunitions, for the estimated war-time strength of 30 divisions for the fiscal year, we planned to be able to mobilize in about ten months after such munitions mobilization was commenced. However, far more materials were expected to be consumed in the China Incident, so we admitted that it was extremely difficult to maintain operations with 15 aivisions.

"IV. Data indicating that the incident was not planned from the Japanese point of view.

"In addition to the data in the Above II and III, I wish to refer to the following:

"1. Establishment of non-enlargement and non-exercise of military strength. On July 8, when the Army was informed of the clash at the Marco Polo Bridge early in the morning, it immediately determined the general policy of non-enlargement of the incident as well as non-exercise of military strength, and informed the Chief of the Staff of the Army at the front to that effect through the Vice-Chief of the General Staff. This I know from documents. About

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

July 8 or 9, the Imperial Government established the policy of non-enlargement, desiring for the smooth settlement of the incident by reconsideration on the part of China, and simultaneously decided to take proper measures for self-preservation, according to the circumstances, though it was too early to send more forces at that time. This I heard from Lieutenant General USHIROKU, Director of the War Service Bureau who was informed thereof in the Bureau-directors Council by the War Minister.

"2. Effort for speedy settlement at the front. On July 9, the General Staff instructed the army at the front to negotiate with the Hopei-Chahar authorities for the settlement of the Marco Polo Bridge Incident, which I know from documents. The gist was to avoid reference to political issues under such circumstances, and to obtain the approval of the Hopei-Chahar Political Committee and fulfil as soon as possible our demands, such as the suspension of stationing the Chinese forces on the left bank near the Marco Polo Bridge, its necessary guarantee, and the punishment and apology of those persons directly responsible.

"According to the reports which I received from the army at the front, our occupation forces in China prohibited promptly any military movement,

following the policy of non-enlargement of the incident and its speedy settlement at the front. In order to save the situation, Major General MATSUI, Daikuro, Chief of the Peiping Special Service Agency, was negotiating with the Chinese military authorities, and it seemed that an agreement would be reached in conformity to the above-mentioned policy of negotiation with Hopei-Chahar.

"However, according to the information received by the General Staff, the Chinese authorities ordered four divisions of her central army near Suchow to march for the frontier of the Honan Province and all her air forces to move out. Moreover, there was no indication that the northern Chinese situation might be mitigated; the Chinese forces in North China were strengthening preparations for war, such as construction of their positions or transport of munitions, and also illegal firing was being repeated near the Marco Polo Bridge.

"If the state of affairs had been left as it was, the lives and properties of our residents in Peking and Tientsin would surely have been forced to face unavoidable danger. Thus the General Staff HQ's was compelled to adopt the following judgment of the situation:

24 25

1

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

"The authorities in Peking and Tientsin as well as the Nanking Government, seem to be exerting themselves to prepare for armed hostilities against Japan. As a result of the aggravation of the situation, caused by the reinforcement of Chinese troops in North China, the lives and properties of our residents are, now, on the verge of utmost danger. It is high time for us now to dispatch a minimum possible number of forces to the Peking-Tientsin area, in order to protect our residents. A grave situation is arising also in areas other than Peking and Tientsin, which comes to call our careful attention with regard to protection of our nationals."

"I was informed of the above adoption by the report and explanation of a high class officer of the Military Affairs Section.

"IV. Our government made the following point clear in an official statement on 11 July, that we were utterly compelled to send forces to North China to cope with anti-Japanese armed actions, planned by the Chinese side. But upon being informed that the Hopei-Chahar Government authorities had accepted our proposal, we took steps, in the afternoon on the same day, as to reserve our preparation for the mobilization and dispatch of our home divisions. Furthermore,

orders were issued by the General Staff HQ's, with the approval of the Government, to dispatch only a part of the Kwantung Army in Manchuria, and of the Chosen Army respectively. The latter step was taken in view of the situation as mentioned above, to ensure the achievement of peace-time duty by our garrison in China under aggravated situation in the Peiping-Tientsin area.

"V. According to an information I got around 13 July from the Chief of the Military Affairs Bureau, the outcome of the Cabinet Conference was as follows:

Government has the sincerity to settle the matter in peace. For the Chinese Central Army forces are now advancing hastily to the north and anti-Japenese actions and unlawful firing cases are being repeated everywhere. Thus not only in North China but also in Shanghai and Chingtao the situation is very dangerous in regard to the protection of the Japanese residents there. Therefore, it will be advisable for us in accordance with our firm policy of localization, to pay constant attention to the future course of things; urging on the one hand, the Hopei-Chahar Government to fulfil the stipulated terms, and abstaining on the other hand from provoking the Chinese.

"In conformity with this principle, the governing body of the General Staff and of the War Ministry decided upon the so-called 'policy for the settlement of the North China Incident' on the night of 13 July.

Spratt & Yelde

The outline of the above 'policy' is as follows:

avoid the expansion of the incident to an all-out war, adhering to the principle of localization and settlement at the spot. For this purpose, it is desirable to accept the terms for settlement proposed by the representative of the Chinese 29th Army and signed on 11th instant, and to see to it that these terms are fulfilled by the Chinese. Whether or not our forces now in homeland should be mobilized is a matter to be decided in the light of the future course of things. In case however the Chinese ignore the above terms and show no sincerity to put it into practice, or in case the Nanking Government removes their central army forces to the north to prepare for attack, resolute steps must be taken on our part.

"It was that it is necessary for the garrisons stationing in China to receive beforehand the recognition of the central headquarters. This was what Lieutenant-General ATOMIYA who was the Chief of the Army Affairs Eureau participating in setting up the plan (regulation) reported directly to me.

"VI. As I was connected with mobilization, I wish to explain the real circumstances under which

mobilization and dispatch were carried out with cautious attitude.

"A. It was a fact acknowledged by the government on July 9th when the incident broke out that the mobilization and dispatch of divisions at home might be necessary to meet the emergency circumstances, although it was not considered necessary at the beginning of the incident. (I knew this through the Chief of the Army Affair Bureau as a report from the minister after a cabinet meeting.)

"B. Taking consideration of the dangerous state of Japanese residents in the Peking and Tientsin district, increasing preparation of fighting power and repeated attacks of Chinese forces in the same district, as well as the insincerity of Hopei-Chahar authorities in negotiations of reconciliation and the northward advancement of the Chinese central armies, the Japanese Government announced on 11 July the dispatch of troops to North China.

"C. But in the afternoon of July 11th, as the Hopei-Chahar authorities accepted our proposal, the mobilization and dispatch of divisional troops at home and other preparations were discontinued.

"D. On 13 July it was decided as the policy of dealing with the North China Incident that the

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

mobilization of divisions at home would be considered properly according to circumstances which might occur afterwards.

"E. As a new circumstance which appeared up to 15 July I mention an increase and concentration of Chinese armies in the area of the north Lancho-Haichow railway, the activity of the Canton air forces, the delay of actual withdrawal of the Chinese Army forces from the left side along Yungtinho, which withdrawal had been accepted by an agreement. Not only that, further construction was continued and more army forces were concentrated (a report from the General Headquarters). Considering the above report, it was decided at a cabinet meeting that the carrying out of mobilization of divisional armies at home which had been discontinued on 16 or 17 July should be prepared as to be practicable whenever necessary after 19 July. The officers and officials concerned in the General Headquarters and the War Ministry proceeded with preparations in their respective sections. But the authorities decided to persevere as much as possible considering self-defense, as the Hopei-Chahar authorities accepted once our proposals, in spite of the report that Chinng Kai-shek revealed his firm determination against Japan on 19 July. Besides the acceptance

24

4

6

7 8

9

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

3

18

19

20 21

22

24

of the Hopei-Chahar authorities, expecting the conclusions of the Nanking Conference, the preparation for mobilization of divisional armies at home was postponed and reserved for the future on 22 July.

"F. After the Langfang Incident on 25 July and the Kwangan Gate Incident on 26 July the condition in North China became finally serious. A report was received from a higher officer stating that the Japanese Government had decided upon a program on 27 July to mobilize and dispatch divisional armies at home. And the purpose of the mobilization and dispatch was to eliminate the threat of the Chinese forces to the lives and property of Japanese residents and transportation and communication and also accomplishment of self-defense of the already dispatched garrison troops in North China. The mobilization and dispatch at first for the time being were emergency operations with peace-time formation and equipment not suitable or sufficient for actual operations. These operations were only for the purpose of protecting the Japanese residents and to carry out the duty of self-defense.

"G. There was no definite expression of intention of the authorities of the General Headquarters whether further mobilization of divisional armies at home would be carried out or not in the future besides

the above stated one. I recognized that the Minister, the Vice-Minister of the War Ministry and the Chief of the Military Affair Bureau, who were the highest staff authorities, maintained a passi e attitude in regard to further mobilization.

"H. Since then higher officers adopted the policy of taking proper steps in regard to further mobilization after consideration and investigation of developments which might occur in the future. When the Shanghai Incident broke out, two divisions were sent to cope with the emergency and when it became urgently necessary to protect the residents of Tsintao, a plan to send out one division was set up and when faced with the necessity of carrying out operations in the area of Paoting, Hopei Province in Central China, a hasty mobilization at home was begun. As above stated, patching and supplementary mobilization and dispatch were carried out as circumstances required. Eventually after the outbreak of the incident up to October 1937, army forces totaling 15 divisions were robilized and dispatched on eight different occasions, to say roughly 4 divisions in July, 7 divisions in August, and 4 divisions in September and October.

"I. In 1938, prior to the execution of operations in Hankao and Canton, the number of the divisions

5

7

9

11

10

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

23

in China reached 23 but among them there were several divisions which were organized in the fighting zone as the circumstances required. Therefore, although the number of army divisions in China increased generally, the number of soldiers decreased in each division and the predisposition of each division and equipment were lowered.

"VII. As examples of lack of unity and nonplanning of military action, I wish to make mention of the capture of Nanking operations in Hsu-Chow, capture of Kuangtung and operations in Hankow.

"The following is necessary confines of my duties as announced by the General Staff and other quarters.

was, at first, informed by the Chief of the Military
Affairs Bureau that the General Staff had no intention to realize it and the War Minister had the same
opinion as the General Staff. I approved of this.
But because of the tendency of the tide of war on
the spot and because of the desire to seize the
opportunity of terminating this incident, the decision
to capture Nanking was firstly decided on December 1,
1937 (the 12th year of Showa) and the order for the
taking Nanking was issued.

7 8

7 8

expansion.

"In May 1938 (the 13th year of Showa), an agreement of views between the War Minister and Vice-Chief of the General Staff was not reached until immediately before the decision to carry out operations was made. The point at issue was that if it was possible to preserve the contact between North China and Central China by securing permanently the important area of Hsu-Chow after the occupation of this area and to supply needed army strength because of the necessity to increase by 2 or 3 divisions the strength necessary for securing the important area of Hsu-Chow, but the fundamental fact was the disagreement of views as to the anticipation of settling this incident in accordance with the policy of non-

"Finally, agreement of views to carry out this military operation was arrived at due to strategio demand in the light of the entire situation. Part of it, I personally heard at the above-mentioned conference and the rest I learned from my superiors.

"3. As to the Mankow and Kuangtung operations, decision was not made so quickly. Only in September 1938 it was decided to carry out the Mankow operation about October 1938 and capture Kuangtung about that

time to cut the communication and supply route from abroad.

"4. Under these circumstances, the army budget passed at the ordinary session of the Diet in 1938 had not anticipated these military operations. Therefore a great deal of inconvenience was felt in the matter of expenses for the year as explained in the following:

"VIII. I, as one of the men who were connected with the military budget, wish to state as follows by way of proposing materials to indicate that the operations in Hsu-Chow, Hankow and Kwantung were not planned well before they actually occurred. After about October 1937, in Tokyo, prospects were entertained for putting an end to the positive operations against China and, moreover, plans and preparations were promoted regarding the adjustment of and extraction from the military strength in China, on the premise that the Incident would be concluded in the near future. To explain more in detail, at about the end of 1937, our military strength in China consisted of 16 divisions, forming its main part. As the first step, these were to be reduced to about 10 divisions. Following this, in the units, which were to remain in China, the reservists were to be relieved from active service. The

2

5

7

8

10

11

12

14

15 16

17 13

19

20 21

22

23 24

enforcement of this program was first to be started from the early spring of 1938, and, pursuant to the anticipated establishment of peace, an all-round withdrawal of the armed forces was planned. With respect to this matter, both the department quarters and the outpost army authorities were unanimous in their opinion.

"Accordingly, the budget, agreed upon at the ordinary session of the Diet at that time, was also based on the above; namely, it was made on the premise that (1) the military strength in China was to be reduced roughly by half; (2) the repatriation of those troops who had been inducted; (3) the continued stationing of armed forces to such an extent as to presuppose no positive operations.

"But, as it was, the situation did not favorably develop as was expected, and after the occupation of Nanking, the failure of peace negotiations and the consequent operations in Hsu-Chow, Hankow and Kwantung necessitated the total abandonment of the attempted adjustment of the military strength as aforementioned, not only this but in the 1938-1939 fiscal year an enormous deficiency was found in the budget.

"IX. I wish to submit the following materials

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

13

19

20

21

22

23

about how the military budget was actually prepared. About the expenditures of our expeditionary forces which were sent to Korea and Manchuria on July 11, 1937, I was asked by my superiors in the 'ar Ministry to negotiate with the Finance Mirastry with a view to meet current expenses with a reserve fund and to open a three months' budget covering August, September and October for the troops that had already been sent, including their evacuation expenditures and supposing their stay to be about three months. But in fact, after negotiation with the Pinance Ministry it was reduced to two months. A budget for two months of August and September was presented at an extraordinary session of the Diet in July and passed. A budget for four months from October till January of the next year for about 11 divisions already mobilized by the end of August passed at a session convened at the beginning of September.

"Thus budgets were prepared little by little according to the number of the expeditionary forces, instead of making a wholesale estimation for a long period in expectation of aggravation and protraction of the incident. This is also clear by the fact that the budget for the fiscal year of 1938 proved greatly deficient as hereinbefore stated.

3

4

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

"X. Realization of Japan's policy of nonaggravation.

"1. What I have already stated shows efforts to realize a policy of non-aggravation.

"2. As a reference to strict execution of non-aggravation policy on the spot, I wish to mention a verbal report made to the Minister of War, in my hearing, by Lt. Col WACHI, a staff officer of the expeditionary forces in China, who had been called up to the central government to make a report of the situation developing on the spot.

"Its gist is as follows:

"The policy of non-aggravation and peaceful settlement of the incident was thoroughly disseminated to the commanders and all the rest of the Japanese forces in North China and utmost care was being taken for it. For instance, the transportation of any Japanese troops was prohibited by the railway between Peking and Tientsin. Any Japanese soldier in uniform was also prohibited to make use of the railway between Fengtai and Peking.

"And though all the Japanese who passed through the gate of the Peking Castle were examined and interrogated by the Chinese military police, they submitted to

24

it.

"Every castle gate in Peking was closed by the military force of the Chinese 37th Division, Chinese machine guns were turned upon the Japanese houses within Peking Castle, and 6,000 Japanese were guarded by only two Japanese infantry platoons.

"In spite of oeing in a position enabling us to go into action immediately against any Chinese bombardment, we were operating upon the instructions of the Army headquarters in Tientsin as they came to us one by one. Being afraid of aggravating the situation, we refrained from carrying the wounded into Peking Castle to be operated upon; likewise we left the bodies of the dead as they were, etc. This was but an example of our policy of localization to prohibit actions which might provoke a magnification of the incident. Thus to carry out this policy, we submitted to any humiliation or inconvenience.

"As for the Chinese forces, anti-Japanese sentiment was high among officers and soldiers alike, and especially among those in the grade of second major. The Chinese in the Pingtsin area were convinced that the Chinese 29th Army had won at Marco Polo Bridge, that the Chinese losses were small and that the Japanese Army had completely withdrawn. We endured the rising anti-Japanese sentiment.

"In addition, it was said that the Central Operations Staff had advanced to Paoting.

"3. Concerning the strict observance of the localization policy of the local Army Commander, I will state what General TERAUCHI, the Army Commander in the North China area, told me when I was there about October 1937 (Showa 12), for liaison work.

"That was as follows:

"Hitherto the Central Supreme Command has made the technique of leading actual operations difficult by not clearly showing beforehand the general purpose of operations and the points of operation. Instead they have simply given the purpose and time of each local operation (such as the Central Hopei operation and the Taiyuan operation). To facilitate operations the Supreme Command should clearly show the general scope and purpose of the operations as a whole and leave the details of local operations to the Army Commander at the front. However, this case being merely an 'incident' and having no bearing on our general national policy, it is necessary to sacrifice perfection in technique to the preservation of our general localization policy. In the future, it will be necessary for both the central and local commands seriously to consider various technical

12 13

14

2

4

6

9

10

11

15

16 17

18

19

21

22

23

24

methods which would relieve the disadvantage to actual operation brought about by strict observation of the localization policy.

"4. On the policy of localization in the Pingtsin area in July 1937 (Showa 12) it was decided that the occurrence of the Langfang and Kwangan Gate Incidents, together with the lack of sincerity on the part of the Hopei-Chahar authorities to fulfil the terms of agreement as well as the aggressive actions repeated everywhere by the Chinese, made it at last necessary to mobilize our divisions now in the homeland.

"On the night of July 26 the General Staff gave me a notice: 'Hitherto we have avoided the mobilization of the home divisions but the actual situation in China admits of no further delay.'

Even the then Chief of the First Department of the General Staff, who was one of the strongest opponents to the mobilization of forces for fear of conflict between Japan and China, at last admitted on the 26th the necessity of mobilization by way of self-defense.

"On the 27th the governing body of the War Ministry also recognized the unavoidability of mobilization.

"Then a draft was prepared for adoption by the Cabinet conference concerning the mobilization of three home divisions, and the War Minister issued orders in the evening of the same day with the approval of the Cabinet conference about the mobilization of three home divisions.

"After a meeting of the Bureau Chiefs, I was informed by the Chief of the Military Affairs Bureau that on the 27th of July the government had decided as follows:

"To mobilize three home divisions; to maintain the policy of localization and settlement

.

self-protective battle in the Pingtsin Area, such a battle should be purely for the achievement of the peacetime duties of the commander of the Japanese Army in China; to do its best not to bring about a situation which might require dispatching soldiers to Tsingtao and Shanghai for the protection of Japanese residents -- though such a situation may eventually come about; and to do no damage to the personnel of third powers.

"Besides I learned that the Minister gave an explanation at the said meeting of the Bureau Chiefs to the following effect:

"Exercise of military force in Pingtsin
Area which might be required in the future would
be purely self-defensive action and as such it
would never be contradictory to the policy of
localization adhered to by Japan. And even if
dispatch of forces to Shanghai or Tsingtao should
be required for the protection of the Japanese
whose security was being seriously menaced at that
time, it would never run counter to the policy of
localization. And the actions of the Japanese
forces had hitherto been in strict conformity with
the localization of military movements, and could

and should remain so in the future as well.

"I also learned from a document that
the mobilization of home divisions was ordered in
the evening of the 27th, and the Chief of the General Staff approved the application of the Commander of the Japanese Army in China for taking
military action against the Chinese 29th Army,
and that at the same time he ordered that the
actual shooting be limited to the line of the
Yungting River.

Military Affairs Bureau that at the Five Ministers'
Meeting held about the 20th of July, they debated
upon the advisability of the Japanese policy to
improve the situation by taking means to clarify
our observance of the principle of equal opportunity
in North China, in order to satisfy the Western
Powers, as well as China herself; and upon the plan
to withdraw the forces at that time in the Pingtsin
area, which had been dispatched there from Manchuria
and Korea after the settlement of the Marco Polo
Bridge Incident, and then to take diplomatic means
for the solution of questions pending between Japan
and China. The Five Ministers' Meeting was a
conference held at the time by the Prime Minister,

2

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

13

19

20

21 22

23

Foreign Minister, War Minister, Navy Minister, and the Finance Minister. I also heard directly that at the end of July there was an opinion among the governing body of the General Staff that contemplated neutralizing the situation by gathering and moving all the Japanese forces in North China back to the area of Tientsin in order to bring about prompt settlement of the incident, and then to hold a personal interview between KONOYE and Chiang Kai-shek, and thus to smooth out the difficulty between Japan and China. However, since the attitude on the part of the Chinese was against this plan, it was not realized after all.

"6. Circumstances after the OYAMA Incident at Shanghai:

"About the Incident Captain OYAMA, I received a report on the morning of the 10th of August 1932 in the War Ministry from HOSHINO, the Chief of the First Section of the Navy Ministry. It purported that the Japanese authorities in Shanghai would not take further steps until they were assured of the sincerity of the Chinese by negotiating with them, and that the circumstances might require preparations for dispatch of troops.

"On that day the government authorities

seemed to be of the opinion that adequate measures for the protection of our residents in Shanghai should be taken after the real circumstances of the OYAMA Incident came to light, but that it would be worthwhile to study the Navy Minister's proposal demanding preparations for eventual mobilization as a last resort. But I learned later that on the 13th, the Cabinet conference took up a plan to dispatch forces, and that on the 14th this plan was being subjected to reconsideration. Then a statement was made by the Imperial Government on August 15, In accordance with this statement it was decided to dispatch a 'despatched force' to Shanghai of which two divisions from the homeland formed the main body, for the purpose of protecting the Japanese subjects in Shanghai. The word 'despatched force' was used to show that it had nothing to do with pure military operations thoroughly. The mobilization for this purpose was ordered on August 15.

"As for Tsingtao, I heard that it was talked about at the Cabinet conference that the situation in Tsingtao being calm it would be possible to maintain the status quo, provided that nothing happened to disturb it, but some measure would be taken for the protection of residents there in case

25

5

6

7

10

11

the worst should happen.

"About August 15 or 16, 1937, the General Staff concluded that the Chinese had decided upon the policy of beginning over-all military operations. This conclusion was based on the report that the Chinese had established headquarters on August 15th, made Chiang Kai-Shek Supreme Commander of all the land, sea and air forces and divided the whole country into four war zones (the 1st war zone, Hopei-Chahar; the 2nd, Chahar-Shansi; the 3rd, Shanghai, the 4th, South China), and that general mobilization was ordered on the same day.

"About the 16th or 17th of August, I was duly notified at the meeting of the Bureau Chiefs as usual that the following has been decided at the Cabinet conference:

affair in strict conformity with the policy of localization, as the Chinese have determined to carry out a long war. Therefore, the utmost efforts should be made to restrict the war to the minimum in point of time as well as strategically, and territorially.

"As the original object of the Shanghai operations lay chiefly in the protection of the

Japanese residents, they had to be confined to a minimum. To check the danger of creating a general clash between Japan and China on the one hand, and to avoid trouble with other countries on the other, Japan at first dispatched less than two divisions temporarily with the idea of carrying out the operations with minimum forces. Nevertheless, the difference of forces between Japan and China was so great that the war situation did not take a favorable turn as it was expected. The central Supreme Command, therefore, dispatched first five battalions during the period from the end of September to the beginning of November, and then five more divisions from North China; in addition, the beginning of November three divisions landed at Hangchow and again in the middle of that month one division landed at Pairaokiang. By supplying forces temporarily as stated above, the battle continued for three months, and at last in the middle of November the Chinese Army made a general retreat to the west. Thus the operations which were executed to protect the residents in Spanghai were brought to a conclusion. But the fact that Japan was obliged to use forces in

such a way as was considered to be an error from a

viewpoint of strategy shows the circumstance under

1 2

4 5

7

9

11

13 14

15

17

18

20

21 22

23 24

which Japan was curbed by her own policy of localizing the incident. In fact, General SUGIYAMA, exMinister of War, who was afterwards appointed Commander of the North China Expeditionary Army,
pointed out these circumstances, saying that the
delay in occupying Shanghai was an important cause
that prolonged the incident, but the fundamental
cause lay in the numerical shortage in military
forces and amount of materials, so the Japanese
policy of solving the incident in a short time
should have been carried out more thoroughly. The
General told me so personally when I made a business
trip to North China in the winter of 1938 (Showa 12).
He was then the Commander of the North China Expeditionary Army.

"The headquarters of the Shanghai Expeditionary Force was reorganized into the headquarters of the Central China Expeditionary Force, and at the same time was entrusted with the duty of operating in the vicinity of Shanghai with the aim of creating an opportunity to settle the incident. This meant that the authorities wanted to find an opportunity of settling the incident in the operations near Shanghai, and had no intention of capturing Nanking at that time. After the battle near Shanghai the Central

Authorities of the Supreme Command instructed our forces not to advance beyond the line of Changshu-Soochow-Kashing so as not to give an unnecessary provocation to Nanking. Later I learned from documents that confidential instructions had been given to the forces not to enlarge the thoatre

"8. In our country the Imperial Headquarters Ordinance was enacted on November 17, 1937, and the Imperial Headquarters was established in Tokyo for the first time on November 20 of the same year.

of operations further west than Wusih and Fuchow.

"In the same month the munitions mobilization program was begun. However, the order for the national general mobilization had not yet been put into force (T. N. in that year).

"The General Mobilization Law was promulgated in March of the following year, that is, 1938. But it was in May 1938 that a part of the law was applied for the China Incident.

"With this I bring my affidavit to an end."

THE PRESIDENT: We will adjourn until halfpast nine tomorrow morning.

(Whereupon, at 1600, an adjournment was taken until Thursday, 24 April 1947, at 0930.)

3

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

20

21

22

24